UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE ALAGOAS INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS E DA SAÚDE PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM DIVERSIDADE BIOLÓGICA E CONSERVAÇÃO NOS TRÓPICOS

EDGAR GUSTAVO RUANO FAJARDO

BROMELIGENOUS ANURAN SPECIES IN THE NORTHERN ATLANTIC FOREST: bromeliad selection and assessment of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection

> MACEIÓ - ALAGOAS Fevereiro/2015

EDGAR GUSTAVO RUANO FAJARDO

BROMELIGENOUS ANURAN SPECIES IN THE NORTHERN ATLANTIC FOREST: Bromeliad selection and assessment of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection

Dissertação apresentada ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Diversidade Biológica e Conservação nos Trópicos, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde da Universidade Federal de Alagoas, como requisito para obtenção do título de Mestre em CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS, área de concentração em Diversidade e ecologia de organismos tropicais

Orientador(a): Profa. Dra. Tamí mott Co-orientador: Prof. Dr. Luís Felipe Toledo

MACEIÓ - ALAGOAS Fevereiro / 2015

Catalogação na fonte Universidade Federal de Alagoas Biblioteca Central Divisão de Tratamento Técnico Bibliotecária Responsável: Maria Helena Mendes Lessa

R894b	 Ruano Fajardo, Edgar Gustavo. Bromeligenous anuran species in the Northern Atlantic forest: bromeliad selection and assessment of <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> infection / Edgar Gustavo Ruano Fajardo. – Maceió, 2015. 108 f. : il. 		
	Orientadora: Tamí Mott. Coorientador: Luis Felipe Toledo. Dissertação (Mestrado em Diversidade Biológica e Conservação nos Trópicos) – Universidade Federal de Alagoas. Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde. Maceió, 2015.		
	Inclui bibliografias. Apêndices: f. 96-108.		
	 Quitrídeo. 2. <i>Phyllodytes</i> – Fitotelmata. 3. <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> Patógeno. 4. Bromélias – Mata Atlantica Nordestina – Murici – Alagoas. I. Título. 		

Г

CDU: 597.824(813.5)

Folha de aprovação

Edgar Gustavo Ruano Fajardo

BROMELIGENOUS ANURAN SPECIES IN THE NORTHERN ATLANTIC FOREST:bromeliad selection and assessment of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection

Dissertação apresentada ao Programa de Pós-Graduáção em Diversidade Biológica é Conservação nos Trópicos, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde. Universidade Federal de Alagoas, como requisito para obtenção do título de Mestre em CIÊNCIAS BIOLÓGICAS, area de concentração em Conservação da Biodiversidade Tropical.

Dissertação aprovada em 06 de fevereiro de 2015

Tami mott

Prota Dra. Tami Mott - UFAL

Orientadora

Prof. Dr. Canos Guilherme Becker/UNESP

(membro titular)

Profa. Dra: Cynthia Peralta de Almeida Prado/UNESP (mémbro titular)

NUEL andell

Profa. Dra. Melissa Fontes Landel/UFAL (membro titular)

MACEIÓ - AL Fevereiro / 2015

"To my mother Thelma Fajardo Aguilar, my brother Pablo Ruano and family."

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To Tamí Mott and Luís Felipe Toledo for the orientation, support and motivation during this process.

Also to José Viera de Araújo Neto, Ingrid Carolline Soares Tiburcio, Barnagleison Silva Lisboa, Anyelet Valencia, Sr. Cicero, João Felix, Jonas Morais, Willam Fagner, and Ciro Ramon Felix Dos Santos Silva for helping during the field trips.

To Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio/SISBio) for collecting permits and logistic in the study area.

Paula Mourão for helping to run the qPCR experiments, Dr. João Vicente for assisting in bromeliad identification and Ana Malhado, Márcio Efe, Flávia Moura and Jéssica Galdino for providing valuable comments on the manuscript.

Also to Herpetology curator Selma Torquato and Felipe Augusto Nascimentos from the Museo de Historia Natural/MUFAL.

Finally, to the Federal University of Alagoas (UFAL), Grupo Coimbra de Universidades Brasileiras (GCUB), the General Secretary of the Organization of American States (OAS) for providing scholarship funding. Also thanks to FAPESP for the grant (2011/51694-7), fellowship (2012/04160-0).

ABSTRACT

The chytrid fungus. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (hereafter Bd), is a pathogen that infects amphibians and may cause amphibian mass die-offs and populations declines in some regions. This disease was described from dving anurans from Australia and Panama in 1999. For almost a decade, the chytrid was thought to be genetically homogenous with a virulent strain (Bd-GPL) distributed worldwide. However, recent discoveries of chytrid ancient lineages, endemism, constant prevalence, oldest records and apparently non-declining populations suggest that the Atlantic forest might be the local of its origin. High diversity and endemism of many taxa occur in the Atlantic forest, and both amphibian and bromeliad diversity are not an exception. Tank bromeliads seem to be an ideal microhabitat for the chytrid fungus: many anuran species are bromeliad-dependent to complete their life cycle and bromeliad water reservoirs may help chytrid fungus to endure environmental constraints. A gPCR assay for detecting Bd in anurans was used to quantify the presence and infection loads of Bd. Specifically. three bromeligenous anurans in the Estação Ecológica de Murici, Alagoas State, Brazil were tested for Bd. Furthermore, bromeliad characteristics (phytotelm water temperature and pH, canopy closure, phytotelm diameter, leaf number and size, bromeliad maximum column depth to store water, phytotelm relative water volume) were measured and associated with *Phyllodytes edelmoi* occurrence. We performed a Logistic Generalized Linear Model (GLM) approach to analyze bromeliad variables selected by P. edelmoi adults, presence of tadpoles and the chytrid presence. Current knowledge on B. dendrobatidis in north Atlantic forest was added. Specifically, three bromeligenous species (Phyllodytes acuminatus, P. edelmoi, and P. gyrinaethes) tested positive for Bd. High prevalence on two of those species (P. edelmoi 31.8 % n=22; P. gyrinaethes 20.8 % n=24) were detected. Nevertheless, relative low intensity of zoospores infection was found. Phyllodytes edelmoi individuals selected smaller diameter bromeliads with more leaves and relative more volume of water. The chytrid presence was more frequent on individuals that inhabit smaller bromeliads with maximum capacity to store water. Bromeliad traits chosen by this bromeligenous frog showed that *P. edelmoi* optimum microhabitat may be related to a higher probability of presence of the chytrid fungus due to the higher number of individuals that prefer this trait.

Keywords: Brazil, Chytrid, ESEC de Murici, Pathogen, *Phyllodytes*, Phytotelmata.

RESUMO

O fungo quitrídeo (Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis) é um patógeno que infecta anfíbios e pode provocar mortalidade em massa e declínio de populações de anfíbios em algumas regiões. Essa doenca foi descoberta em anuros moribundos da Austrália e Panamá em 1999. Por quase uma década, pensou-se que o guitrídeo era representado por uma linhagem virulenta geneticamente homogênea (Bd-GPL) no mundo todo. No entanto, descobertas recentes de linhagens antigas do fungo, endemismo, prevalência constante, registros mais antigos e, aparentemente, o não declínio de populações de anfíbios da Mata Atlântica sugerem que este seja o local de origem deste guitrídeo. Alta diversidade e endemismo de diversos táxons é característico do hotspot Mata Atlântica, e a diversidade de anfíbios e bromélias não são exceções. Bromélias com tanque parecem ser um microhabitat ideal para o fungo: muitas espécies de anuros dependem de bromélias para completar seu ciclo de vida e, o reservatório de água dessas plantas pode ajudar o fungo quitrídeo a resistir restrições ambientais. Um ensaio gPCR para detecção de *B. dendrobatidis* em anuros foi usado para avaliar a presença e quantificar a intensidade de zoósporos. Especificamente, as amostragens foram feitas em três espécies de anuros bromelígenas na Estação Ecológica de Murici, estado de Alagoas, Brasil. Além disso, as características das bromélias (temperatura e pH da água do fitotelmo, cobertura do dossel, diâmetro do fitotelmo, número e tamanho das folhas, profundidade máxima do reservatório de água, volume de água relativo da bromélia) foram medidas e associadas com a presença ou ausência da espécie bromelígena Phyllodytes edelmoi. Modelos lineares logísticos generalizados (GLM) foram utilizados para analisar as variáveis das bromélias que são selecionadas pelos indivíduos adultos de P. edelmoi, presença de girinos e, a consequente, presença do fungo quitrídeo. Informações ao conhecimento atual de Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis no norte da Mata Atlântica é acrescentado. O guitrídeo foi encontrado nas três espécies bromelígenas registradas nesse estudo (Phyllodytes acuminatus, P edelmoi, e P. gyrinaethes). Altas prevalências foram detectadas para duas espécies (P. edelmoi 31,8% n = 22; P. gyrinaethes 20,8% n = 24). No entanto, a intensidade da infecção zoospórica encontrada foi relativamente baixa. Phyllodytes edelmoi seleciona broméilias com diâmetros menores, com mais folhas e maior volume relativo de água. A presença do quitrídeo foi mais frequente em indivíduos que habitam bromélias menores com a capacidade máxima de armazenar água. Características das bromélias escolhidas por esta espécie bromelígena revelou que o seu microhabitat ótimo pode estar relacionado com uma maior probabilidade da presença do fungo devido ao maior número de indivíduos que preferem essa característica..

Palavras-chave: Brasil, quitrídeo, ESEC de Murici, patógeno, Phyllodytes, Fitotelmata

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Global distribution of <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i>	8
Figure 2 - Biogeographic regions based on the anuran fauna distribution in the Atlantic prest	21
Figure 3 - Inset map is South America with Atlantic forest in green. Square highlights the north portion of Atlantic forest. Satellite image where yellow represents the boundary of he Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC Murici) and A represents <i>Mata da Bananeira</i> prest fragment	าe f 22
Figure 4 - Distribution of <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> in the Atlantic rainforest2 Figure 5 - <i>Phyllodytes gyrinaethes</i> adult	23 27
igure 6 - <i>Phyllodytes edelmoi</i> adult2	28
igure 6 - <i>Phyllodytes acuminatus</i> adult2	29
CHAPTER 1 Figure 1. Positive records of <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> infection reported in the	
present study for the Atlantic forest5	51
Figure 2. Linear regression of the number of species per family reported in the Atlantic	
orest on the number of infected species5	52

CHAPTER 2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Composition of *Phyllodytes* species groups based on dorsal color pattern....25

CHAPTER 1

Table 1. Anura	an species sampled	for Batrachochytrium	dendrobatidis presence in the	
Amazonia and	in the Atlantic fores	t		3

CHAPTER 2

Table 1. Microclimatic variables and phytotelm characteristics in bromeliads with
(presence) and without (absence) Phyllodytes edelmoi individual during dry and wet
seasons76
Table 2. Logistic regressions of Phyllodytes edelmoi adults and tadpoles presence in
bromeliads selected by stepwise procedure using Akaike's information criterion77
Table 3. Logistic regressions for the presence of <i>Bd</i> in bromeliads in habitat by
Phyllodytes edelmoi selected by stepwise procedure using Akaike's information
criterion

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	10
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Amphibian declines	13
2.1.1	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis: Description and Pathology	15
2.1.2	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis: Distribution and Evolutionary History	17
2.2	Atlantic forest	18
2.2.1	Diversity and Endemism	19
2.2.2	Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC de Murici)	21
2.2.3	Atlantic forest and Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis	
2.3	Bromeliads and anurans	24
2.3.1	The genus Phyllodytes Wagler 1830	25
2.3.1.1	Phyllodytes gyrinaethes Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire 2003	
2.3.1.2	Phyllodytes edelmoi Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire 2003	27
2.3.1.3	Phyllodytes acuminatus Bokermann, 1966	
2.3.2	Bromeliad anurans and Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis	289
3	CHAPTER 1: The chytrid fungus acts as a generalist pathogen that ir	nfects
S	species-rich amphibian families in Brazilian rainforest	
	ABSTRACT	
	INTRODUCTION	
	MATERIAL AND METHODS	35
	RESULTS	
	DISCUSSION	637
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	40
	REFERENCES	40
4 (CHAPTER 2: Jumping into a trap: bromeligenous anuran selects opti	mum
r	nicrohabitat for the chytrid fungus	54
	ABSTRACT	55
	INTRODUCTION	56
	MATERIAL AND METHODS	58
	Molecular Analyses	60
	Data analyses	61
	RESULTS	61
	DISCUSSION	63
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	66
	REFERENCES	66
5	GENERAL DISCUSSION	
6	CONCLUSIONS	84
	REFERENCE	
	APPENDIX	

1 INTRODUCTION

In the last 30 years, amphibian populations have been declining worldwide (LIPS et al., 2008; STUART et al., 2008; WAKE; VREDENBURG, 2008). Declines have been associated with several factors such as deforestation, environmental pollution, climate change, introduction of exotic species, diseases and a complex synergy among these forces in a geographic context (BLAUSTEIN et al., 2011; HOF et al., 2011). However, the most enigmatic declines in pristine forests are linked to the presence of the fungal pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (hereafter Bd), which often leads to chytridiomycosis (STUART et al., 2008). Bd is a chytrid fungus (Class Chytridiomycetes: Order Chytridiales) that infects keratinized epidermal cells of adult amphibians and keratinized mouthparts (jaw sheaths and teeth) of tadpoles (BERGER et al., 1998). Specifically, adult amphibians with high zoospore infection may die by cardiac arrest due to attenuated Na+ absorption through the skin (CAMPBELL et al., 2012; VOYLES et al., 2009). In contrast, tadpoles' mortality is scarce (KILPATRICK; BRIGGS; DASZAK, 2010), but Bd can affect their capability of food intake, foraging efficiency, behavior, growth and development (HAN; BRADLEY; BLAUSTEIN, 2008; VENESKY; WASSERSUG; PARRIS, 2010). This disease was described in 1999 in amphibians from Australia, Panama and North America (LONGCORE; PESSIER; NICHOLS, 1999), and has been detected in all continents except Antarctica (FISHER; GARNER; WALKER, 2009). Moreover, the chytrid fungus has been detected in ca. 500 amphibian species around the world (OLSON et al., 2013). Despite of been recently discovered, Bd earliest record is 1894 in anurans from Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil (RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014).

In Brazil, many anurans have shown *Bd* infection (ca. 130 species) and these records are mainly from the southern region of the Atlantic forest (CARNAVAL et al., 2006; GRÜNDLER et al., 2012; LISBOA *et al.*, 2013; RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014; TOLEDO, 2006; VALENCIA-AGUILAR *et al. in press*; VIEIRA *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, high diversity and long term endemism of *Bd* genotypes have been found in the southern Atlantic forest (RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014; ROSENBLUM et al., 2013; SCHLOEGEL *et al.*, 2012). However, chytridiomycosis outbreaks have not been directly attributed so far to amphibian populations declines in the Atlantic forest (HADDAD *et al.*).

2013). Conversely, enigmatic declines occurred in the late 70's in Brazil with similar characteristics as the mass mortality event reported in Costa Rica (LIPS, 1998). This finding rise concern in how temporal dynamics and impacts of *Bd* on Brazilian amphibian populations with a long history of co-occurrence fit in the puzzle (LIPS, 2014). Until now, the northern region of the Atlantic forest has not been sampled throughout regarding *Bd* presence and the *Bd* genotypic strain is unknown. This distributional gap is ca. 1,700 km ranging from Alagoas State (LISBOA *et al.*, 2013) to Minas Gerais State (RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014).

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis follows a dynamic disease progression with epizootic and enzootic stages. For instance, during an epizootic stage, *Bd* affects naïve amphibian populations and may behave as a killing wave that can reduce 50% of host species richness and produce mass population declines (e.g. BREM; LIPS, 2008). On the other hand, *Bd* enzootic stage may have a constant prevalence and reduce amphibian mortality (e.g. BATAILLE *et al.*, 2013; RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014). Recent studies reinforce the need to include open areas, which are less favorable sites for *Bd*, and enzootic sites (FLECHAS; SARMIENTO; AMÉZQUITA, 2012) as the Atlantic forest of Brazil. In addition, the inclusion of these sites can help understand how environment-host dynamics at different scales have been shaped in a long term interaction (LIPS, 2014; LONGO *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, the recognition of factors that influence *Bd* and amphibians at optimal and suboptimal fine scale microhabitats are needed to understand the dynamic of *Bd* within a habitat. For instance, some amphibians' natural history, or behavioral traits in specific microhabitats as bromeliad tanks can help to model such dynamics.

Bromeliads have been considered as perfect reservoirs for *Bd* across Neotropical regions (MCCRAKEN *et al.*, 2009; RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014; STUCKERT *et al.*, 2009). For example, lowland rainforests in Ecuador have shown a high prevalence of *Bd* in bromeliads (MCCRAKEN *et al.*, 2009) and Atlantic forest anurans that use phytotelmata have been found with relatively high *Bd* prevalence (RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014). Although bromeliads are diverse and abundant in the Atlantic forest and many anurans complete their lifecycle within this microhabitat (HADDAD; PRADO, 2005; PEIXOTO,

1995), bromeligenous species in the Atlantic forest have not been specifically surveyed for *Bd*.

Here we screened bromeligenous anurans in the northern Atlantic forest for *Bd*. The genus *Phyllodytes*, a Neotropical endemic, was targeted as model sample. Specifically, *P. acuminatus* Bokermann, 1966, *P. edelmoi* Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire, 2003 and *P. gyrinaethes* Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire, 2003 that are endemic to the Northern Atlantic forest (PEIXOTO; CARAMASCHI; FREIRE, 2003) were chosen. These species are considered as Data Deficient in IUCN red List (IUCN, 2014) and information on ecology and *Bd* risk assessment are needed to guide conservation efforts. In addition, the assessment of these bromeligenous anurans for *Bd* may shed light on the dynamic of this disease. Therefore, we proposed: 1) to assess the presence and quantify intensity of *Bd* infection in *Phyllodytes* species in Estação Ecológica de Murici, Alagoas state, Brazil 2) to determine whether there are bromeliad characteristics with *P. edelmoi* occurrence which favor *Bd* presence 3) to bring baseline data on the bromeliad selection of this poorly known anuran species.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Amphibian declines

In the past 30 years, the alarming population decline of amphibians worldwide has been reported and discussed (BLAUSTEIN *et al.*, 2011; HOF *et al.*, 2011). Wake & Vredenburg (2008) argue that biological diversity is entering in a new period of mass extinction that could be listed as the sixth mass extinction on earth's history. This crisis is uneven among vertebrates, amphibians are the most impacted group whereas 42% of ca. 7,000 species worldwide have been declining (FROST, 2014; STUART *et al.*, 2008). Indeed, amphibian declines are complex to understand because they differ among geographic locations, species, populations, life stages and are dependent on many factors which may interact together with natural stress (BLAUSTEIN *et al.*, 2011).

Amphibian declines are not a single-cause phenomenon, they rather exists as a synergetic interaction among factors provoking different scenarios (HOF et al., 2011). However, the most significant factor is habitat destruction (BLAUSTEIN et al., 2011). Specifically, deforestation exposes amphibians to strong changes in microclimatic regimes, homogenizes habitat complexity and split populations apart (HUSSAIN; PANDIT, 2012). For instance, negative effects of habitat split have been associated with loss of anuran richness with aquatic larvae on the Atlantic forest (BECKER et al. 2007). Another cause is related to exposure to a variety of contaminants (pesticides, herbicides, fungicides, fertilizers, etc.). Certainly, contaminants can cause changes in locomotion activity, reproduction, feeding and growth (BLAUSTEIN et al., 2011; HAYES et al., 2010b). For example, atrazine exposure can induces complete feminization and chemical castration in Xenopus laevis males (HAYES et al., 2010a). Also climate change have been pointed out for affecting survival, growth, reproduction and dispersal capabilities of anurans as well as altering vegetation, soil, and hydrology (BLAUSTEIN et al., 2010), causing unsuitable habitats for amphibian populations viability. Altogether, these forces can contribute to amphibian declines but also may influence amphibian susceptibility to disease by altering host-community structure, transmission pathways, pathogen persistence and virulence (PATZ et al., 2000). For instance, diseases such as ranavirus and chytridiomycosis are linked to enigmatic amphibian declines (HOF *et al.*, 2011; LESBARRÈRES *et al.*, 2012) and are part of these complex phenomena as well.

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis is the fungal pathogen that may cause chytridiomycosis. This emergent disease was directly linked to mass die-offs of amphibian populations in cloud forests of Australia and Panama (BERGER et al., 1998; LIPS et al., 2008). Furthermore, it was also associated with the decline of frog populations in South America, North America, Central America, New Zealand, Europe and Africa (BERGER et al., 1998; FISHER; GARNER; WALKER, 2009; LIPS et al., 2008). Certainly, declines were most unexplainable in the tropics because they were limited to forest areas with no apparent habitat degradation (BERGER et al., 1998; LIPS, 1998; LIPS et al., 2008). In several of these areas, Bd was found in adults and declines occur abruptly in a few months (BERGER et al., 1998). For instance, Gillespie et al. (2014) documented real-time arrival of *Bd* and the decline of an amphibian population in Australia showing lethal outbreaks of the disease. On the contrary, apparently enigmatic declines are not always explained by Bd. For example, a population of poison frog Atelopus spumarius in Ecuador showed decline that apparently could be explain by Bd (TARVIN; PENA; RON, 2014), due to the high susceptibility of this genus (STUART et al., 2008). However, this decline was explained by an event of selective logging (TARVIN; PEÑA; RON, 2014).

Amphibian population extinctions and declines by emergent diseases are a major concern for scientist and conservationist worldwide due to its complex causes (RÖDDER et al., 2009; SCHEELE et al., 2014). Assessments for threats on amphibian diversity suggest that most affected amphibians are aquatic species (STUART et al., 2008) and those species inhabiting mountainous areas restricted to medium and high altitudes. Furthermore, pristine tropical forests have higher disease risk than habitats characterized by habitat loss (BECKER; ZAMUDIO, 2011). This suggests a synergistic interaction between the infectious agent and environmental conditions, which varies with elevation and usually affects more species associated with riparian systems than to terrestrial habitats (FISHER; GARNER; WALKER, 2009; KILPATRICK; BRIGGS; DASZAK, 2010). Among families, decline patterns arise in a phylogenetic perspective. For example, Bufonidae has shown by far the highest percentage of species in serious

decline, specifically in the genus *Atelopus* (ca. 78% of 145 species). Also there are many species affected in Hylidae and Leptodactylidae (STUART et al., 2008).

2.1.1 *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*: Description and Pathology

Also chytrid fungus, known as the Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Chytridiomycota; Chytridiales) is the first pathogen of the order Chytridiales to be reported in vertebrates (LONGCORE; PESSIER; NICHOLS, 1999). This fungus was identified in the early 90's and described by Longcore et al. (1999). Specifically, Bd grows in keratinized epidermal cells of adult amphibians (BERGER et al., 1998) and infects mouthparts with keratinized surfaces as jaw sheaths and teeth of tadpoles. Highly infected adults may die by cardiac arrest due to interruption of electrolytes transport across the epidermis (VOYLES et al., 2009). Moreover, typical pathological signs include epidermal hyperplasia, hyperkeratosis and shedding of the epidermis (VOYLES et al., 2009). On tadpoles, Bd can affect food intake, foraging efficiency, behavior, growth and development (e.g. HAN; BRADLEY; BLAUSTEIN, 2008; VENESKY; WASSERSUG; PARRIS, 2010) and generally is not lethal at this stage (BLAUSTEIN et al., 2005).

As a typical chytrid, *Bd* has two life stages: a sessile stage, reproductive thallus with a single zoosporangium, and a motile stage, with an aquatic uniflagellated zoospores (BERGER et al., 2005). *In situ*, experiments showed that zoospores can swim for up to 24 h covering a distance of 2 cm (LONGCORE; PESSIER; NICHOLS, 1999; PIOTROWSKI; ANNIS; LONGCORE, 2004). Zoosporangia produce zoospores via asexual reproduction. Although sexual reproduction is rare, the evidence for *Bd* first sexual reproduction was recently found in the southern Atlantic Forest. This was a hybrid genotype strain CLFT024/02 produced from recombination between *Bd*-GPL and *Bd*-Brazil genotypes (SCHLOEGEL et al., 2012).

The chytrid fungus grows in culture under a range of temperatures (4 - 25 °C), and pH (4 - 8). Specifically, *in vitro* optimal growth occurs between 17 - 25 °C and a pH of 6 - 7 (PIOTROWSKI; ANNIS; LONGCORE, 2004), but tradeoffs exist between the zoosporangium maturation rate which increases with temperature, and the number of produced zoospores per zoosporangium which decreases with temperature

(WOODHAMS et al., 2008). Nevertheless, several strains show reduced growth and/or mortality above 28°C (FISHER; GARNER; WALKER, 2009; PIOTROWSKI; ANNIS; LONGCORE, 2004), whereas higher temperature variability has shown to increase *Bd* impact (HAMILTON et al., 2012) and strains appear to respond differently to temperature patterns (e.g. STEVENSON et al., 2013). In addition, *Bd* growth can also be regulated by biotic interactions. For example, microorganisms such as daphnia, ciliates and rotifers are effective for regulating *Bd* infection because they consume *Bd* zoospores in aquatic environments (e.g. BUCK; TRUONG; BLAUSTEIN, 2011; SCHMELLER et al., 2013).

Even though *Bd* is considered as an amphibian specialist (VOYLES; ROSENBLUM; BERGER, 2011), the capability of survival outside the host is an important aspect for the transmission dynamics of this chytrid. For instance, *in vitro* studies show that *Bd* has the capacity to grow on other substrates, such as boiled snake skin, 1% keratin agar, peptonized milk, triptone and feather meal (PIOTROWSKI; ANNIS; LONGCORE, 2004; SYMONDS et al., 2008). Furthermore, other studies suggest that *Bd* can persist for up to three months in sterile sand or lake water (JOHNSON; SPEARE, 2003). Moreover, wild caught crayfish (*Procambarus alleni* and *P. clarkia*) can retained *Bd* in their gastrointestinal tracts for at least three months, possibly causing mortality in crayfish and transmitting the infection to amphibian tadpoles (MCMAHON et al., 2013). Also *Bd* may be carried on algae, terrestrial reptiles, waterfowl bird, and nematodes (e.g. GARMYN et al., 2012; JOHNSON; SPEARE, 2005; KILBURN; IBÁÑEZ; GREEN, 2011; SHAPARD; MOSS; SAN FRANCISCO, 2012).

Bd temporal and spatial dynamics that regulate its presence and quantity deserve further investigation. In one hand, *Bd* may be in an enzootic stage, characterized by a constant prevalence and reduced amphibian mortality. On the other hand, the chytrid may be in an epizootic stage affecting naïve amphibian populations provoking mass dieoffs (BREM; LIPS, 2008; LIPS *et al.*, 2008). Part of those dynamics, that shapes how the disease affects amphibians in a given site, are probably due to a complex interaction between climatic conditions, *Bd* strain, amphibian susceptibility, historical presence, associated microfauna and non-amphibian species (SCHMELLER et al., 2013; VOYLES; ROSENBLUM; BERGER, 2011; VOYLES et al., 2012; WALKER et al., 2010). The mechanism for the introduction and spread of *Bd* is still in debate, but the most accepted hypotheses is that *Bd* was spread out worldwide from its origin by live trade of amphibians for human consumption (CATENAZZI; VREDENBURG; LEHR, 2010; SCHLOEGEL et al., 2012; WELDON et al., 2004). For example, the role of the trade of North American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) as a carrier of *Bd* and spreading virulent *Bd* genotypes in a global panel can support this idea (SCHLOEGEL et al., 2012).

2.1.2 Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis: Distribution and Evolutionary History

The chytrid fungus has been detected in at least 500 of 1,240 (42%) analyzed amphibian species around the world, except Antarctica (Figure 1). Many distributional sampling gaps around the world exist regarding amphibians infected by *Bd*. For example, the chytrid fungus has been detected in 52 of 82 countries in which sampling was reported (OLSON et al., 2013). In other words, the need of more detailed studies for *Bd* distribution, risk assessment and conservation efforts are still a priority (RÖDDER et al., 2009; SCHEELE et al., 2014).

Recent emergence of this disease, that can cause catastrophic population loss, has led to a constant debate of its possible origin and dispersion (LIPS et al., 2008; VENESKY et al., 2014). Thus, two hypotheses attempt to explain chytridiomycosis in wild populations. In the first place, the novel pathogen hypothesis suggests that *Bd* is a new emerging pathogen that is expanding its geographic distribution and encountering hosts. Second, the endemic pathogen hypothesis proposes that is a widespread pathogen whose emergence was triggered by a change in host, pathogenicity, or environment (RACHOWICZ et al., 2005; VENESKY et al., 2014). Nevertheless, these hypotheses are in constant debate and new evidence suggests that geographic historical distribution of *Bd* may support both in different context. For example, genome data suggest that *Bd* is likely endemic in some areas (e.g. Brazilian Atlantic forest) and a novel pathogen in others (RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014; ROSENBLUM et al., 2013).



Figure 1 - Global distribution of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis.

Figure from Olson et al. (2013).

Chytridiomycosis origin was thought to be in Africa for some specific reasons. First, earliest *Bd* record (until then) had been found in *Xenopus laevis* individuals since 1938. Second, wild individuals of *X. laevis* did not show clinical signs, nor experienced any sudden die-offs. Finally, an assay for human pregnancy, developed in the 1930's, that involved capture and international trade of huge amounts of *X. laevis* individuals could disperse the fungus (WELDON et al., 2004). However, recent phylogenetic studies based on complete genome of several strains of *Bd* worldwide revealed a complex and different evolutionary history of this fungus (BATAILLE et al., 2013; ROSENBLUM et al., 2013). For instance, there is a virulent *Bd*–global panzootic lineage (*Bd*-GPL) geographically widespread (FARRER et al., 2011), and three other endemic lineages refer as the Swiss lineage (*Bd*-CH), the Cape lineage (*Bd*-Cape) and *Bd*-Brazil (FARRER et al., 2011; ROSENBLUM et al., 2013; SCHLOEGEL et al., 2012). Furthermore, the discovery that *Bd*-Brazil strain diverged earliest in the phylogenetic history of the pathogen (ca. 104,700 years ago), and the record of the earliest occurrence of *Bd* (1884) in Atlantic forest suggests that this hotspot is the possible geographic origin of this fungus (RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014).

2.2 Atlantic forest

The Atlantic forest domain is distributed along the Brazilian Atlantic coast, and extended through inland areas of Argentina and Paraguay, between latitude 3° S to 30° S and longitude 35° W to 60° W. This domain has boundaries in the south with the pampas, and drier inland formations such as the Bolivian Chaco, the Pantanal in the southwest, the South American savanna Cerrado in the west and the Caatinga in the northwest. The Atlantic forest is characterized by a complex topography that varies from sea level to 2,700 meter above the sea level (m.a.s.l.) (RIBEIRO et al., 2011). In general, coastal areas receive ca. 4,000 mm/year of rain and inland forests receive ca.1,000 mm/year of rain (CÂMARA, 2003). The Atlantic forest is extremely heterogeneous with an evergreen to semi-deciduous forests, but also deciduous forests, mangroves, swamps, *restingas*, inselbergs, high-altitude grasslands and mixed Araucaria pine forests (SCARANO, 2009).

2.2.1 Diversity and endemism

The Atlantic forest of Brazil is one of the most diverse and endemic hotspot for biodiversity conservation in the world (MYERS et al., 2000). This hotspot possesses nearly 20,000 species of plants, 500 of bromeliads, 263 of mammals, 936 of birds, 306 reptiles and 540 amphibians (MITTERMEIER et al. 2005; HADDAD et al., 2013;

SIQUEIRA; TABARELLI, 2006). Furthermore, endemism rates range from 30% in birds, 44% in plants (MITTERMEIER et al. 2005) and 80% in amphibians (HADDAD et al., 2013). Recent studies attempt to explain the evolutionary process that shaped the high diversity in the Atlantic forest. For example, Carnaval et al. (2014) with a phylogeographic endemism approach suggests that different processes explain its complexity: 1) contemporary climatic heterogeneity for the south Atlantic forest; and 2) past climate dynamics, in areas of relative stability, in the north Atlantic forest. Similarly, Vasconcelos et al. (2014) split the Atlantic forest domain by biogeographic regions based on amphibians distribution, climatic conditions and vegetation structure (Figure 2): a) SEMID: less species diversity, where the weather is hot and seasonally dry; b) ARAUC: southern species from the Araucaria forest, where the weather is cooler and rainy all through the year; c) SOUTHEAST: high diverse and small-ranged species from the southeastern Brazilian, where the climate is cooler and has complex topography; and d) NORTH: northern small-ranged species that presumably evolved within the Pleistocene forest refugia, where the climate currently is hot. This regionalization has not taken into account habitat destruction (VASCONCELOS et al., 2014). However, both approaches support the northern Atlantic forest as a different region.

Since the arrival of European colonist in the sixteenth century deforestation has been a major threat in the Atlantic forest domain. For instance, reduction of a total 1,360,000 km² of the original forest to only 11.7% (163,377 km²) in Brazil, 24.9% (11,618 km²) in Paraguay and 38.7% (9,950 km²) in Argentina shows an alarming decrease. Moreover, small fragments of the forest represent 12.59% of the total original Brazilian Atlantic forest (RIBEIRO et al., 2011). In total, 22,603.5 km² of forest are protected by 249 natural reserves. However, the total amount of protected forest includes only 9.3% of the remaining forest cover (RIBEIRO et al., 2009). In fact, one of the most devastated region is the north Atlantic forest, specifically north of *São Francisco* river (excluding *Brejos nordestinos*), which represent only 12.1% (3,798.18 km²) of its original forest. Certainly, conservation efforts, as connecting fragments, stimulating restoring actions and declaring protected areas in this region, should be major priorities (RIBEIRO et al., 2009).



Figure 2 - Biogeographic regions based on the anuran distribution in the Atlantic forest. fauna

Modified from Vasconcelos et al. (2014).

Notes: ARAUC = Araucaria forest, NORTH = semideciduous/deciduous and ombrophilous forests, SEMID = semideciduous forest and savanna-like vegetation and SOUTHEAST = coastal ombrophilous forest and adjacent semideciduous forest.

ARAUC 70

360

720

2.2.2 Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC de Murici)

One of the most important complex of forest fragments for conservation in the northern Atlantic forest region, specifically in the state of Alagoas, is the *Estação Ecológica de Murici* (ESEC de Murici). These forest fragments are located in the Murici, Flexeiras e Messias municipalities, Alagoas State, Brazil (Figure 3). Specifically, the largest fragment in this ESEC is the Mata da Bananeira with an extension of 26.28 km². The climate is defined as subtropical with dry summer (Köppen's climate classification), being hot and humid, with a rainy season between April and August, and the drier period between November and January (ALVARES et al., 2013). This fragment is located at NORTH biogeographic region of the Atlantic forest (VASCONCELOS *et al.*,

2014). Alternatively, based on endemism of birds, butterflies and primates this region is defined as Pernambuco sub-region (SILVA; CASTELETI, 2003).

Figure 3 - Inset map is South America with Atlantic forest in green. Square highlights the north portion of Atlantic forest. Satellite image where yellow represents the boundary of the Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC Murici) and A represents *Mata da Bananeira* forest fragment.



Figure: Author (2014).

Note: Square highlights the north portion of Atlantic forest. Satellite image with yellow contourn represents Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC Murici) whereas A represents *Mata da Bananeira* forest fragment.

2.2.3 Atlantic forest and *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*

Recently, the Atlantic forest has shaken the research of *Bd* worldwide for many reasons. First, *Bd* is highly widespread and apparently has not been linked to amphibian declines (GRÜNDLER *et al.*, 2012; VERDADE *et al.*, 2012). Second, the discovery of endemic strains with 76 unique haplotypes (FARRER *et al.*, 2011; RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014; ROSENBLUM *et al.*, 2013; SCHLOEGEL *et al.*, 2012). Finally, *Bd* has been present in this area for the past 100 years with a steady moderate prevalence

(RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014). However, these evidences were obtained from the south Atlantic forest. For the north Atlantic forest there is a gap of knowledge regarding to types of strain, prevalence, presence and general epidemiology of *Bd*.



Figure 4 - Distribution of studied sites of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Brazilian Atlantic rainforest.

Figure from Lisboa et al. (2013).

Until now ca.130 anuran species have been positive to *Bd*, mainly inhabiting the southern region of the Atlantic Forest (BECKER; ZAMUDIO, 2011; CARNAVAL, et al., 2006; GRÜNDLER et al., 2012; LISBOA et al., 2013; RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014; TOLEDO, 2006; VALENCIA-AGUILAR et al., *in press*; VIEIRA et al., 2012; VIEIRA et al., 2013). For the northern Atlantic Forest, *Bd* has been found in tadpoles of *Hypsiboas freicanecae*, in Jaqueira municipality, state of Pernambuco (CARNAVAL et al., 2006)

and recently, in tadpoles of *Aplastodiscus sibilatus* and *H. freicanecae* from Murici municipality, state of Alagoas (LISBOA et al., 2013). Basic information of *Bd* presence is still needed in the northern Atlantic forest. For instance, there is a wide geographic gap (ca. 1,700 km) between south and northern portions of Atlantic Forest regarding *Bd* surveys (Figure 3).

2.3 Bromeliads and anurans

Bromeliads (Bromeliacea) is a plant family mostly distributed in the Neotropics, with the exception of one species that is found in Africa (KITCHING, 2000). Specifically, the Atlantic forest is considered the evolutionary core of this family, with approximately 500 species (SIQUEIRA; TABARELLI, 2006). Their ecological importance is due to their phytotelm (plural phytotelmata = "plants that holds water"). This morphological structure maintains water and nutrients (KITCHING, 2000) being considered as "biodiversity amplifiers" with their ability to buffer environmental fluctuations generating and harboring high levels of species diversity (GONÇALVES-SOUZA *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, phytotelmata is used by a wide range of taxonomically diverse groups, including bacteria, fungi, insects, amphibians, reptiles, and other plants (BUOSI *et al.*, 2014; GONÇALVES-SOUZA *et al.*, 2010; KITCHING, 2001; LEHTINEN, 2004; LEROY *et al.*, 2013).

The importance of bromeliads for amphibians is associated with the presence of phytotelm that may provide stability and protection against desiccation, offering shelter, and food resource (KITCHING, 2000; LEHTINEN, 2004). In fact, some amphibians have different degrees of association with bromeliads: some anurans use bromeliads during its entire life-cycle (bromeligens or bromeligenous) and others use them sporadically as shelter or reproduction (bromeliculas) (PEIXOTO, 1995). For example, Drummond (2009) analyzed the effects of forest fire on anuran populations in a rocky outcrop of the Brazilian Cerrado and recorded an increase of amphibian individuals in bromeliads. Certainly, environmental simplification caused by fire, turned this microhabitat an important shelter.

Several bromeliad traits are associated with the presence of anurans, including humidity, water availability and tank size (BANDONI; NAVAS, 2004; PEDERASSI *et al.*, 2012; STUCKERT *et al.*, 2009). Generally, bromeliad morphological traits are chosen by anurans to guarantee their survival. For example, Pederassi et al. (2012) found association between morphological traits of the bromeliads and the presence of *Scinax argyreornatus* (Anura, Hylidae), a bromelicula species, but also reports that relative humidity is an important condition. Similarly, Bandoni & Navas (2004) showed a non-random choice of bromeliads by a bromeligenous species, *Scinax perpusillus*, whose individuals preferred larger, and clustered bromeliads in the southern Atlantic forest of Brazil. Moreover, anuran species have other types of selection conditions (i.e. niche partitioning) triggered by reproductive ecological traits, such as behavior, parental care, food availability, avoidance of predators, avoidance of competitive traits with phylogenetically related species, among others (BROWN; MORALES; SUMMERS, 2008; POELMAN; WIJNGAARDEN; RAAIJMAKERS, 2013; RYAN; BARRY, 2011; ZHOU et al., 2008)..

2.3.1 The genus *Phyllodytes* Wagler 1830

Group	Species	Distribution		
P. luteolus group	Phyllodytes acuminatus Bokermann, 1966	AL, PE		
	Phyllodytes brevirostris Peixoto and Cruz, 1988	PA		
	Phyllodytes edelmoi Peixoto, Caramaschi and Freire, 2003	AL, PE		
	Phyllodytes kautskyi Peixoto and Cruz, 1988	ES		
	Phyllodytes luteolus (Wied-Neuwied, 1824)	PE, BA, ES		
	Phyllodytes melanomystax Caramaschi, Silva and Britto-Pereira, 1992	BA		
P. tuberculosus group	Phyllodytes tuberculosus Bokermann, 1966	SE, BA		
	Phyllodytes punctatus Caramaschi and Peixoto, 2004	SE		
P. auratus group	Phyllodytes wuchereri (Peters, 1873)	BA		
P. gyrinaethes group	Phyllodytes gyrinaethes Peixoto, Caramaschi and Freire, 2003	AL, PE		
Without group*	Phyllodytes maculosus Cruz, Feio and Cardoso, 2007	BA, MG		
Madify from Coromosophi at al. (2004)				

Table 1- Composition of *Phyllodytes* species groups based on dorsal color pattern.

Modify from Caramaschi et al. (2004)

Note: Alagoas (AL), Bahia (BA), Minas Gerais (MG), Espírito Santo (ES), Paraiba (PA), Pernambuco (PE), Sergipe (SE).

The genus *Phyllodytes* is exclusively bromeligenous and includes 11 species of tree frogs (Hylidae) endemic to Eastern Brazil (FROST, 2014). Although interspecific

relationships remain unknown, these species have been allocated in four species groups (Table 1) based mostly on their color patterns (CARAMASCHI; PEIXOTO; RODRIGUES, 2004). The *P. luteolus* group has plain dorsal color pattern, a more or less defined dorsolateral dark line in the canthus rostralis and/or behind the corner of the eyes. The *P. auratus* group possesses two dorsolateral white or yellowish stripes bordered by a dark line. The *P. tuberculosus* group is characterized by pale brown dorsum with scattered brown dots. Finally, *P. gyrinaethes* group that has two irregularly patterned dorsolateral light areas in contrast with a marbled dorsum (CARAMASCHI; PEIXOTO; RODRIGUES, 2004). More recently *P. maculosus* was described (CRUZ; NEVES; CARDOSO, 2006) and could not be appropriately allocated in existing groups (table 1). Molecular study showed that *Phyllodytes* is a paraphyletic genus and raised *Phyllodytes auratus* (From Trinidad & Tobago) to a new genus *Phytotriades* Jower, Downie & Cohen, 2008. Because few *Phyllodytes* species (only two actual species and *Phytotriades auratus*) were included in this analysis, the interspecific relationships

Three species are known only from their type-localities (*P. brevirostris*, *P. kautskyi*, *P. punctatus*), and two are known from a few localities (*P. gyrinaethes* and *P. maculosus*). Furthermore, the two species restricted to the most northern part of the Atlantic forest are poorly known. For instance, almost all natural history of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* and *P. gyrinaethes* are based on their description manuscript and some recent descriptions of advertising calls (DE LIMA et al., 2008; ROBERTO; AVILA, 2013). Data on *Bd* infection, systematics, ecology and natural history are still lacking for these species.

2.3.1.1 *Phyllodytes gyrinaethes* Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire 2003

This species is known from two localities. Specifically, its type locality at Fazenda Bananeiras, ESEC de Murici, Murici municipality, Alagoas State, Brazil, and Usina Colonia, Pernambuco State, Brazil. Population status is unknown and is consider as data deficient species in the IUCN Red list (IUCN, 2014).

This is a medium size species (Snout-Vent Length 23.9 – 27.9 mm). Characterized by a marbled dorsal pattern on the body and limbs; a well-developed nuptial pad at the base of the first finger; a hidden tympanum; a red cast on the concealed areas of thigh, tibia, inner border of foot, and inguinal region; and a morphologically specialized tadpole with expanded labia (PEIXOTO et al., 2003). Baseline data on ecology of this species is absent. *Phyllodytes gyrinaethes* major threats are related to habitat destruction due to agricultural development, wood extraction, human settlement and collection of bromeliads (FREIRE *et al.*, 2004).



Figure 5 - Phyllodytes gyrinaethes adult.

Photo Author, 2014

2.3.1.2 Phyllodytes edelmoi Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire 2003

This species was described from Mata do Catolé, Maceió municipality, Alagoas State, Brazil (paratypes in Fazenda Bananeiras, ESEC Murici) and was found in two additional localities, Mata da Salva, Rio Iargo. Alagoas State, and in Jaqueira municipality, Pernambuco State, Brazil (IUCN, 2014). It occurs from sea level up to 650 m.a.s.l. Its population status is unknown and is considered as data deficient species in the IUCN red list (IUCN, 2014).

This is a medium sized species allocated in *P. luteolus* group (Snout-Vent Length 23.5 – 28.8 mm) and is characterized by a dark brown to black dorsum with many pearl white spots in post-metamorphic and young specimens; a conspicuous pearl white spot

on the dorsum of the tadpole; in life, adults deep golden yellow, no distinct tubercle on the tip of the snout; and nares on slightly elevated prominences (PEIXOTO; CARAMASCHI; FREIRE, 2003).

The major threats to *P. edelmoi* are habitat loss due to agricultural development, wood extraction, human settlement and collection of bromeliads. The area where the species is found is suffering severe fragmentation and reduction in extent of forest patches, and some populations in Alagoas appear to be at severe risk as a consequence (FREIRE et al., 2004).

Figure 6 - Phyllodytes edelmoi adult.



Photo: Lisboa, B. 2014

2.3.1.3 Phyllodytes acuminatus Bokermann, 1966

This species is known from Alagoas State (its type-locality at Mangabeiras municipality) and Pernambuco State (Buíque municipality), Brazil (FROST, 2014). *Phyllodytes acuminatus* is a moderate-sized species (Snout-Vent Lenght 21.1 – 24.9 mm) and is characterized by a having acuminate snout in a dorsal view and subacute snout in lateral view (BOKERMANN, 1966). *Phyllodytes acuminatus* tadpole is similar to most species of the genus. However, the presence of a double row of marginal papillae

surrounding all the oral apparatus (except on most of the upper labium) is their main difference (CAMPOS et al., 2014). The major threats to *P. acuminatus* are probably related to habitat loss due to agriculture, livestock grazing, logging, human settlement and collection of bromeliads (PEIXOTO; PIMENTA, 2004).

Figure 7 - Phyllodytes acuminatus adult.

Photo: Lisboa, B. 2014

2.3.2 Bromeliad anurans and Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis

Amphibians have different degrees of associations with tank bromeliads (HOORN et al., 2010; HORRES et al., 2007; LEHTINEN, 2004; SCHULTE; BARFUSS; ZIZKA, 2009). Amphibians infected with *Bd* in arboreal bromeliads have shown high prevalence especially in lowland rainforests compared to other microhabitats (MCCRAKEN et al., 2009). Furthermore, the presence of *Bd* has been detected in water of bromeliads suggesting that these sites may act as environmental reservoirs (COSSEL; LINDQUIST, 2009). This provides the idea of the importance to study anurans associated with bromeliads regarding *Bd* infection.

Anurans infected with *Bd* in bromeliads may shed light on the dynamic of this disease for many reasons. Firstly, bromeliad tanks have been considered as perfect microhabitat reservoirs for *Bd* throughout its Neotropical distribution, specially for the South Atlantic forest anurans (MCCRAKEN et al., 2009; RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014; STUCKERT et al., 2009). Secondly, bromeliad morphology and humid reservoir conditions could allow both (amphibians and *Bd*) to survive throughout temporal constraints of precipitation and heat, as has been predicted for Jamaican phytotelmata amphibians (HOLMES; MCLAREN; WILSON, 2014). Finally, tank bromeliads are an interesting natural microcosms with replicated scenario for modeling ecological studies (KITCHING, 2001).

3 CHAPTER 1

The chytrid fungus acts as a generalist pathogen that infects species-rich amphibian families in Brazilian rainforests

Anyelet Valencia-Aguilar^{1,*}, Gustavo Ruano-Fajardo¹, Carolina Lambertini², Domingos da Silva Leite³, Luís Felipe Toledo², Tamí Mott⁴

Diseases of Aquatic Organism: http://www.int-res.com/journals/dao/guidelines-for-dao-authors/ (ACCEPTED)

¹Programa de Pós-Graduação em Diversidade Biológica e Conservação nos Trópicos, Instituto

de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Av. Lourival Melo Mota,

s/n, Tabuleiro, 57052-970, Maceió, AL, Brasil. E-mail: anyelet@gmail.com

² Laboratório de História Natural de Anfíbios Brasileiros (LaHNAB), Departamento de Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Monteiro Lobato, 255, 13083-862, Campinas, SP, Brasil.

³ Laboratório de Antígenos Bacterianos, Departamento de Genética, Evolução e Bioagentes, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Rua Monteiro Lobato, 255, 13083-862, Campinas, SP, Brasil.

⁴ Setor de Biodiversidade, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Av. Lourival Melo Mota, s/n, Tabuleiro, 57052-970, Maceió, AL, Brasil

Running page head: Bd as generalist pathogen in Brazil

ABSTRACT

The fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) is one of the main causes of declines in amphibian populations. This fungus is a generalist pathogen because it infects several species and spreads rapidly in the wild. To date, Bd has been detected in more than 100 anuran species in Brazil, mostly in the southern portion of the Atlantic forest. Here, we have reported survey data from some poorly explored regions; these data considerably extend current information on the distribution of Bd in the northern Atlantic forest region. In addition, we tested the hypothesis that Bd is a generalist pathogen in this biome. We also report the first positive record for Bd positive in an anuran caught in the wild in Amazonia. In total, we screened 27 anuran species (90 individuals) for Bd, 81.5% (22 species, 39 individuals) of which showed positive results. All samples collected in Bahia (two individuals), Pernambuco (three individuals), Pará (one individual), and Minas Gerais (one individual) showed positive results for Bd. We found a positive correlation between anuran richness per family and the number of infected species in the Atlantic forest, supporting previous observations that Bd lacks strong host specificity; of 38% of the anuran species in the Atlantic forest that were tested for Bd infection, 25% showed positive results. The results of our study may partly explain the pandemic and widespread nature of Bd infection in amphibians.

Keywords: Pathogen, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis,* geographic distribution, Atlantic forest, Amazon forest, Anurans

INTRODUCTION

Many anuran populations worldwide have experienced severe declines (Williams & Hero 1998, Bustamante et al. 2005), and anurans are justly considered among the most threatened vertebrates (Baillie et al. 2004, 2010). Infectious diseases are considered one of the main causes of these population declines (Baillie et al. 2004, Fisher et al. 2012). Particularly, the aquatic chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (hereafter referred to as *Bd*) has led to the decline of several amphibian populations and species worldwide (Stuart et al. 2004, Lips et al. 2005, La Marca et al. 2005, Pounds et al. 2006, Skerratt et al. 2007, Fisher et al. 2009, 2012), and it has mostly affected species with a high dependence on water (Bielby et al. 2008, Catenazzi et al. 2010). Previous studies have suggested that *Bd* is a generalist pathogen (Morgan et al. 2007, Walker et al. 2010, Olson et al. 2013) as it has infected more than 500 amphibian species in a wide variety of aquatic and terrestrial habitats (Gründler et al. 2012). *Bd* also has high environmental tolerance, and it is found in diverse locations throughout Africa, Asia, Europe, and the Americas (Fisher et al. 2009, Olson et al. 2013).

Species diversity may affect the prevalence of infectious diseases because of increased interactions among the pathogen and potential hosts (Keesing et al. 2006). Data from Global Amphibian Assessment suggest that amphibian declines associated with *Bd* infection are greater in regions with higher species richness (Olson et al. 2013). However, at local scales, higher diversity tends to attenuate infection indices (Becker et al. 2014). Moreover, the effects of *Bd* vary among species and populations worldwide (Berger et al. 1999, Briggs et al. 2010, Kilpatrick et al. 2010, Searle et al. 2011), probably because of a combination of environmental factors and host traits that impacts the spread and susceptibility of species to *Bd* (Walker et al. 2010, Bancroft et al. 2011). Life history traits have also been associated with higher *Bd* infection
rates (Bancroft et al. 2011, Guayasamin et al. 2014) among families with high diversity, broad distributions, and with both aquatic and terrestrial stages (Corey & Waite 2008, Olson et al. 2013).

The Neotropical region of South and Central America contains the highest diversity of amphibians (Baillie et al. 2010). This area includes the Brazilian Atlantic Forest and Cerrado (an open grassland physiognomy): two of the most endangered, species-rich (Myers et al. 2000) biomes and centers of endemism in the world (Haddad et al. 2013, Valdujo et al. 2013). Three distinct genotype lineages (including the most ancestral) of the Bd pathogen have been found in the Atlantic Forest, and this biome may represent the center of origin for Bd (Schloegel et al. 2012; Rosenblum et al. 2013). To date, two anuran species in Cerrado (Ramalho et al. 2013) and 110 species in several habitats of the Atlantic Forest (Carnaval et al. 2005, 2006, Toledo et al. 2006a, 2006b, Sluys et al. 2007, Ferreira et al. 2008, Brito-Gitirana et al. 2009, Becker & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, De Paula & Catão-Dias 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Vieira et al. 2012, 2013, Lisboa et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014) have been recorded as Bd-positive (Table S1), with records dating back to more than 100 years ago (Rodriguez et al. 2014). However, the vast majority of these reports are from the south and southeast Atlantic Forest (Lisboa et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014). In the northern portion of the biome, the distribution and prevalence of Bd are poorly documented (Carnaval et al. 2006, Verdade et al. 2012, Lisboa et al. 2013).

Here, we have provided current information on *Bd* distribution in north and northeastern Brazil. We have included the first record of *Bd* in the state of Bahia, as well as the first record in an anuran caught in the wild in Brazilian Amazonia. We also used data from previous chytrid studies in the Atlantic Forest (south, southeast, and northern regions) to test the hypothesis that *Bd* is a generalist pathogen in this biome. If *Bd* is a generalist, we would expect that the number of species per family is positively correlated with the number of infected species in the family.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We conducted fieldwork in five Brazilian localities: four in the Atlantic Forest in the states of Pernambuco, Alagoas (June to December 2013 and February to May 2014), Bahia (July 2010), and Minas Gerais (August 2010) and one in the Amazon forest in the state of Pará (February 2013). At each location, we swabbed individuals from terrestrial (forest and open areas) and aquatic habitats (ponds and streams) during diurnal and nocturnal visual encounter surveys, as per the methods of Crump & Scott (2001).

To avoid cross contamination, each collected anuran was immediately and individually maintained in a plastic bag. Individuals were swabbed for *Bd* detection by using sterile cotton swabs and wiping the forelimbs, hindlimbs, and pelvic region five times for each individual, as per the method described by Hyatt et al. (2007). DNA extraction was performed using 50 μ L of PrepMan® ULTRA (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for each swab, followed by Taqman® qPCR assays for detection and quantification of *Bd* loads, according to standard protocols (Boyle et al. 2004, Lambertini et al. 2013). *Bd* prevalence was estimated as the number of *Bd*-positive frogs divided by the total number of sampled frogs. Infection intensity was estimated as the number of zoospore equivalents obtained using qPCR (see Lambertini et al. 2013). The strain used for the standard curve was CLFT 023 (from Monte Verde, Camanducaia, Minas Gerais.), allowing for comparisons with other localities worldwide (Longo et al. 2013). To test the hypothesis that *Bd* is a generalist pathogen (showing low species-specificity), we combined our data with records of previous Atlantic forest studies (Carnaval et al. 2005, 2006, Toledo et al. 2006a, 2006b, Sluys et al. 2007, Ferreira et al. 2008, Brito-Gitirana et al. 2009, Becker & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, De Paula & Catão-Dias 2011, Gründler et al. 2012,

Vieira et al. 2012, 2013, Lisboa et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014) to calculate the number of infected species. We then used a linear regression to test the correlation between the number of infected species and the total number of species registered in the biome (from Haddad et al. 2013). Differences between *Bd* prevalence and load among families were tested with analyses of variance (ANOVA). Analyses were performed using the R statistical computing environment (R Development Core Team 2013) after normalizing data through a log transformation.

RESULTS

Out of the 27 sampled species from eight anuran families, 22 (81.5%) tested positive for *Bd* (Table 1). Out of the 90 sampled individuals from five localities (Figure 1), 39 (43.3%) tested positive for *Bd* infection. All the samples collected in Bahia (n = 2), Pernambuco (n = 3), Pará (n = 1), and Minas Gerais (n = 1) tested positive for *Bd* (Table 1).

Among families with the greatest number of samples, *Bd* prevalence was higher in Craugastoridae (56%; 2 species; 16 individuals) and Hylidae (42%; 15 species; 52 individuals) and was lower in Leptodactylidae (38%; 3 species; 8 individuals) and Bufonidae (25%; 3 species; 8 individuals). *Bd* prevalence among families did not differ (F = 0.80, df = 3, p = 0.50). Infection load was the highest in Craugastoridae (log 1.39GE \pm 1.51, n = 9 individuals) and Hylidae (log 1.05GE \pm 0.87, n = 21 individuals), although loads did not differed between families (F= 0.92, df = 5, p = 0.47). The highest intensity of infection (log 1.79 – 5.20) was detected in *Crossodactylus dantei* and *Phyllodytes gyrinaethes*, followed by *Phyllomedusa nordestina*, *Frostius pernambucensis*, *Phyllodytes edelmoi*, *Hypsiboas crepitans*, and *Pristimantis ramagii*. The following species had the lowest loads: *Rhinella crucifer*, *Scinax nebulosus*, *Phyllodytes galactonotus*, and *Hypsiboas albomarginatus* (log 0 – 1.40). *Bd* was not detected in *Rhinella hoogmoedii*, *Gastrotheca* sp., *Aplastodiscus sibilatus*, *Hypsiboas semilineatus*, and

Leptodactylus natalensis (only one individual was tested for all these species). The sampled individual of Adelphobates galactonotus collected from Pará, Amazonia, was infected.

Anuran richness per family was positively correlated with the number of infected species in the Atlantic forest ($r^2 = 0.753$, p < 0.001, df = 14, Figure 2). The richest families in the Atlantic forest (Hylidae, Hylodidae, and Leptodactylidae) had greater numbers of infected species (Table S2).

DISCUSSION

Among the six main Brazilian biomes, three tested positive for *Bd* infection: Amazonia (present study), Cerrado (Ramalho et al., 2013), and the Atlantic forest (Toledo et al. 2006a, Lisboa et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014, present study). Our record is the first report of *Bd* infection in a frog caught in the wild; the previous record of *Bd* in Amazonia was in the tadpoles of an exotic bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) obtained from a commercial frog farm (Schloegel et al. 2009). Although bullfrogs have been suggested as potential reservoirs and vectors of *Bd* (Schloegel et al. 2009), *Bd* was present in Brazil long before the introduction of bullfrogs (Rodriguez et al. 2014).

Bd has been, and is still, broadly studied in the Atlantic forest, where the number of infected species has increased to 131 (Table S1); majority of these reports are from the southern region, where two divergent strains of *Bd* (*Bd*-GPL and *Bd*-Brazil) have been present at least since 1897 (Rodriguez et al. 2014) in terrestrial and aquatic habitats without lethal effects on anuran populations (Toledo et al. 2006b, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014). In the northern region, information on *Bd* has been limited to reports of anuran infection from the states of Alagoas, Bahia, and Pernambuco (Carnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013, present study). Our findings of *Bd* in Bahia fill a gap of 1,600 km between Minas Gerais and Alagoas, indicating that *Bd* is widespread across a broad host range throughout the Atlantic forest. *Bd* prevalence and

load (Table 1) among anurans in the northern region may be regarded as moderate. However, there is a clear need for more surveys in this region, since small sample sizes and differences in sampling effort may lead to a bias in estimating prevalence and pathogen load (Ohst et al. 2013). Climatic and forest characteristics can affect *Bd* infection (Rödder et al. 2008, Fisher et al. 2009, Gründler et al. 2012), and the differing climate dynamics in northern and southern parts of the Atlantic Forest may therefore affect *Bd* dynamics in these regions (Câmara 2003, Carnaval et al. 2014).

Our *Bd* data for the northern region of the Atlantic forest are consistent with an enzootic pattern where there is no detectable effect of *Bd* on anuran survival (Briggs et al. 2010). The same pattern was found in the south Atlantic forest (Rodriguez et al. 2014) and other areas (Canada Forzán et al. 2010, Cameroon Doherty-Bone et al. 2013, Germany Ohst et al. 2013). These findings contradict epizootic events reported in Australia (Berger et al. 1998), Costa Rica (Lips et al. 2003), Mexico (Lips et al. 2004), Panama (Lips et al. 1999), Spain (Bosch et al. 2001), United States (Vredenburg et al. 2010), and Venezuela (La Marca et al. 2005), where high prevalence (Lips et al. 2005) and high *Bd* infection loads (Vredenburg et al. 2010) have been associated with local mass extinctions and fatal declines.

The Atlantic forest is one of the most threatened forests in the world, where more than 80% of the remaining fragments are smaller than 0.5 km² (Ribeiro et al. 2009). Nevertheless, this biome has high anuran species richness (522 species) in Brazil, presumably because of its diverse geographical and climatic characteristics (Ribeiro et al. 2009, Toledo & Batista 2012, Haddad et al. 2013, Toledo et al. 2014). This high diversity of species and habitats may favor *Bd* infections by providing diverse hosts and microhabitats (Becker & Zamudio 2011). As in Costa Rica and Australia (Becker & Zamudio 2011), the number of species per family was positively correlated with the number of infected species in the Atlantic forest (Figure 2). Even though several anuran

species in the Atlantic forest still need to be screened for *Bd* infection, our data support the hypothesis that this fungus is a generalist pathogen (Fisher et al. 2009) that spreads rapidly (Morgan et al. 2007) and infects many different types of host species (Walker et al. 2010, Crawford et al. 2010). In contrast, *Bd* infections in Europe and the United States are associated with amphibian families that display similar life-history traits (Corey & Waite 208, Bancroft et al. 2011, Baláž et al. 2013). For example, species of the family Ranidae are more susceptible to *Bd* infection because of their similarities in body shape and habitat use for breeding (Bancroft et al. 2011).

We found that Hylidae and Leptodactylidae have the greatest number of *Bd*-positive species in the Atlantic forest (Table S2). These families exhibit the greatest diversity of species and reproductive modes in this biome and include species that spawn aquatic and terrestrial eggs and use different types of lotic, lentic, and terrestrial habitats during the breeding season (Haddad & Prado 2005). In south and southeast Brazil, species that breed in lentic and terrestrial habitats are characterized by a higher *Bd* prevalence when compared with species that breed in lotic habitats (Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014). Likewise, North American ranids (another species-rich family) that are dependent on water bodies (i.e., lentic habitats) for laying eggs and tadpole development seem to be more prone to *Bd* infection than strictly terrestrial or direct-development species (see also Becker et al. 2014).

The Atlantic Forest extends from 3°S to 31°S and 35°W to 60°W in Brazil (Ribeiro et al. 2009); to date, only 38% of the anuran species present in 10 states have been screened for *Bd* infection, of which 25% tested positive. Several taxa (mainly in the north and northeastern regions) have not been sampled, and long-term monitoring of anurans in the Brazilian biomes is clearly needed to fill geographical, taxonomic, and ecological gaps. These data reveal infection trends in the

39

wild, providing *sine qua non* information that can be directly integrated into amphibian conservation plans.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

B. S. Lisboa, C. A. Vieira, D. Pacheco, D. S. Rodrigues, I. S. Tiburcio, J. de Araújo, M. A. Passos, R. Lourenço-de-Moares, and W. P. da Costa helped with the field trips. We thank FAPESP for a grant (2011/51694-7) and fellowship (2012/04160-0). LFT also thanks CNPq for a grant (405285/2013-2) and a fellowship (302589/2013-9). AVA thanks OAS for an academic scholarship and ICMBio/SISBIO for the collection permits (41520-1) and logistics support for field surveys.

REFERENCES

Baláž V, Vörös J, Civiš P, Vojar J, Hettyey A, Sós E, Dankovics R, Jehle R, Christiansen DG, Clare F, Fisher MC, Garner TWJ, Bielby J (2013) Assessing risk and guidance on monitoring of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Europe through identification of taxonomic selectivity of infection. Conserv Biol 28:213-223

Baillie JEM, Hilton-Taylor C, Stuart SN (2004) 2004 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. A Global Species Assessment. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK

Baillie JEM, Griffiths J, Turvey ST, Loh J, Collen B (2010) Evolution Lost: Status and Trends of the World's Vertebrates. Zoological Society of London, United Kingdom

Bancroft BA, Han BA, Searle CL, Biga LM, Olson DH, Kats LB, Lawler JL, Blaustein AR (2011) Species-level correlates of susceptibility on the pathogenic amphibian fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the United States. Biodivers Conserv 20:1911-1920 Berger L, Speare R, Daszak P, Green DE, Cunningham AA, Slocombe R, Goggin CL, Hyatt AD, MacDonald KR, Hines HB, Lips KR, Marantelli G, Parkes HH (1998) Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rainforests of Australia and Central America. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:9031-9036

Berger L, Speare R, Hyatt AD (1999) Chytrid fungi and amphibians declines: overview, implications and future directions. In: Campbell A (ed) Declines and disappearances of Australian frogs. Environment Australia, Canberra, p 23-33

Becker CG, Zamudio KR (2011) Tropical amphibian populations experience higher disease risk in natural habitats. PNAS 108:9893

Becker CG, Rodriguez D, Toledo LF, Longo AV, Lambertini C, Correa DT, Leite DS, Haddad CFB, Zamudio KR (2014) Partitioning the net effect of host diversity on an emerging amphibian pathogen. P Roy Soc B-Biol SCI 281:20141796

Bielby J, Cooper N, Cunningham AA, Garner TWJ, Purvis A (2008) Predicting susceptibility to future declines in the world's frogs. Conservation Letters 1:82-90

Bosch J, Martiez-Solano I, Garcia-Paris M (2001) Evidence of a chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central Spain. Biol Conserv 97:331-337

Briggs CJ, Knapp RA, Vredenburg VT (2010) Enzootic and epizootic dynamics of the chytrid fungal pathogen of amphibians. PNAS 107:1-6

Brito-Gitirana L, Felsemburgh FA, Carvalho e Silva SP, Almeida PG (2009) Is the Chytrid fungus really responsible for amphibian decline? The Open Zoology Journal 2:49-52

Bustamante MR, Ron SR, Coloma LA (2005) Cambios en la diversidad en siete comunidades de anuros en los Andes de Ecuador. Biotropica 37:180-189

Câmara IG (2003) Brief history of conservation in the Atlantic Forest. In: Galindo-Leal C, Câmara IG (eds) The Atlantic Forest of South America: Biodiversity Status, Threats, and Outlook. CABS and Island Press, Washington, p. 31-42

Carnaval ACO, Toledo LF, Haddad CFB, Britto F (2005) Chytrid fungus infects high-altitude stream-dwelling *Hylodes magalhaesi* (Leptodactylidae) in the Brazilian Atlantic rainforest. Froglog 70:3-4

Carnaval ACO, Puschendorf R, Peixoto OL, Verdade VK, Rodrigues MT (2006) Amphibian chytrid fungus broadly distributed in the Brazilian Atlantic Rain Forest. EcoHealth 3:41-48

Carnaval AC, Waltari E, Rodrigues MT, Rosauer D, VanDerWal J, Damasceno R, Prates I, Strangas M, Spanos Z, Rivera D, Pie MR, Firkowski CR, Bornschein MR, Ribeiro LF, Moritz C (2014) Prediction of phylogeographic endemism in an environmentally complex biome. Proc. R. Soc. B 281:20141461

Catenazzi A, Lehr E, Rodriguez LO, Vredenburg VT (2010) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and the Collapse of Anuran Species Richness and Abundance in the Upper Manu National Park, Southeastern Peru. Conserv Biol 25:382-391

Corey SJ, Waite TA (2008) Phylogenetic autocorrelation of extinction threat in globally imperiled amphibians. Diversity Distrib 14:614-629

Crawford AJ, Lips KR, Bermingham E (2010) Epidemic disease decimates amphibians abundance, species diversity, and evolutionary history in the highlands of central Panama. PNAS 107:13777-13782

Crump ML, Scott NJ (2001) Relevamiento por encuentros visuales. In: Heyer WM, Donnelly A, McDiarmid RA, Hayec LC, Foster MC (eds) Medición y monitoreo de la diversidad biológica. Métodos estandarizados para anfibios. Smithsonian Institution Press-Editorial Universitaria de la Patagonia, p 84-92

de Paula CD (2011) Patologia comparada de infecções selecionadas de anfíbios anuros de vida livre do bioma da Mata Atlântica: estudo prospectivo. PhD dissertation, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP

de Paula CD, Catão-Dias JL (2011) Chytridiomycosis: a devastating emerging fungal disease of amphibians. Braz J Vet Pathol 4:250-258

Doherty-Bone TM, Gonwouo NL, Hirschfeld M, Ohst T, Weldon C, Perkins M, Kouete MT, Browne RK, Loader SP, Gower DJ, Wilkinson MW, Rödel MO, Penner J, Barej MF, Schmitz A, Plötner J, Cunningham AA (2013) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians of Cameroon, including first records for caecilians. Dis Aquat Org 102:187-194 Ferreira TK, Lamarão FRM, Moraes MO, van Sluys M (2008) Amphibian chytrid infection in *Melanophryniscus moreirae* (Bufonidae) in the Brazilian Atlantic forest. Herpetol Rev 39:445-446

Fisher MC, Garner TWJ, Walker SF (2009) Global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and amphibian chytridiomycosis in space, time, and host. Annu. Rev. Microbiol 63:291-310

Fisher MC, Henk DA, Briggs CJ, Brownstein JS, Madoff LC, McCraw SL, Gurr SJ (2012) Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. Nature 484:186-194

Forzán MJ, Vanderstichel R, Hogan NS, Teather K, Wood J (2010) Prevalence of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in three species of wild frogs on Prince Edward Island, Canada. Dis Aquat Org 91:91-96

Guayasamin JM, Mendoza AM, Longo AV, Zamudio KR, Bonaccorso E (2014) High prevalence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in an Andean frog community (Reserva Las Gralarias, Ecuador). Amphibian & Reptile Conservation 8: 33-44

Gründler MC, Toledo LF, Parra-Olea G, Haddad CFB, Giasson LOM, Sawaya RJ, Prado CP, Araujo OGS, Zara FJ, Centeno FC, Zamudio KR (2012) Interaction between breeding habitat and elevation affects prevalence but not infection intensity of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Brazilian anuran assemblages. Dis Aquat Org 97:173-84

Haddad CFB, Prado CPA (2005) Reproductive modes in frogs and their unexpected diversity in the Atlantic forest of Brazil. BioScience 55:207-217

Haddad CFB, Toledo LF, Prado CPA, Loebmann D, Gasparini JL, Sazima I (2013) Guia dos Anfíbios da Mata Atlântica: Diversidade e Biologia. Editora Anolis Books, São Paulo, SP

Hyatt AD, Boyle DG, Olsen V, Boyle DB, Berger L, Obendorf D, Colling A (2007) Diagnostic assays and sampling protocols for the detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Dis Aquat Org 73:175-192

Keesing F, Holt RD, Ostfeld RS (2006) Effects of species diversity on disease risk. Ecol Lett 9:485-498

Kilpatrick AM, Briggs CJ, Daszak P (2010) The ecology and impact of chytridiomycosis: an emerging disease of amphibians. Trends Ecol Evol 25:109-118

Lambertini C, Rodriguez D, Brito FB, Leite DS, Toledo LF (2013) Diagnóstico do fungo quítridio: *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis.* Herpetologia Brasileira 2:12-17

La Marca E, Lips KR, Lötters S, Puschendorf R, Ibáñez R, Rueda-Almonacid JV, Schulte R, Marty C, Castro F, Manzanilla-Puppo C, García-Pérez JE, Bolaños F, Chaves G, Pounds JA, Toral E, Young BE (2005) Catastrophic Population Declines and Extinctions in Neotropical Harlequin Frogs (Bufonidae: *Atelopus*). Biotropica 37:190-201

Lips KR (1999) Mass mortality of the anuran fauna at an upland site in Panama. Conserv Biol 13:117-125

Lips KR, Green DE, Papendick R (2003) Chytridiomycosis in wild frogs from southern Costa Rica. J Herpetol 37:215-218 Lips KR, Mendelson JR, Muñoz-Alonso A, Canseco-Márquez L, Mulcahy DG (2004) Amphibian population declines in montane southern Mexico: resurveys of historical localities. Biol Conserv 119:555-564

Lips KR, Brem F, Brenes R, Reeve JD, Alford RA, Voyles J, Carey C, Livo L, Pessier AP, Collins JP (2005) Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a Neotropical amphibian community. PNAS 103: 3165–3170

Lisboa BS, Neves JM, Nascimento FAC, Tavares-Bastos L, Mott T (2013) New records of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Atlantic forest of Northeastern Brazil. North West J Zool 9: art.132505

Longo AV, Rodriguez D, Leite DS, Toledo LF, Mendoza-Almeralla C, Burrowes PA, Zamudio KR (2013) ITS1 copy number varies among *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* strains: implications for qPCR zoospore estimation of field-collected amphibian skin swabs. PLoS One 8:e59499

Morgan JAT, Vredenburg VT, Rachowicz LJ, Knapp RA, Stice MJ, Tunstall T, Bingham RE, Parker JM, Longcore JE, Moritz C, Briggs CJ, Taylor JW (2007) Population genetics of the frog-killing fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. PNAS 104:13845-13850

Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403:853-858

Ohst T, Gräser Y, Plötner J (2013) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Germany: distribution, prevalences, and prediction of high risk areas. Dis Aquat Org 107:49-59

Olson DH, Aanensen DM, Ronnenberg KL, Powell CI, Walker SF, et al. (2013) Mapping the Global Emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the Amphibian Chytrid Fungus. PLoS ONE 8:e56802

Pounds JA, Bustamante MR, Coloma LA, Consuegra JA, Fogden MPL, Foster PN, La Marca E, Masters KL, Merino-Viteri A, Puschendorf R, Ron SR, Sánchez-Azofeifa GA, Still CJ, Young BE (2006) Widespread amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. Nature 439:161-167

R Development Core Team (2013) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Available at www.R-project.org/

Ramalho ACO, De Paula CD, Catão-Dias JL, Vilarinho B (2013) First record of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in two endemic Cerrado hylids, *Bokermannohyla pseudopseudis* and *Bokermannohyla sapiranga,* with comments on chytridiomycosis spreading in Brazil. North West J Zool 9:145-150

Ribeiro MC, Metzger JP, Martensen AC, Ponzoni FJ, Hirota MM (2009) The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: how much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. Biol Conserv 142:1141-1153

Rödder D, Veith M, Lötters S (2008) Environmental gradients explaining the prevalence and intensity of infection with the amphibian chytrid fungus: the host's perspective. Anim Conserv 11:513-517

Rodriguez D, Becker CG, Pupin NC, Haddad CFB, Zamudio KR (2014) Long-term endemism of two highly divergent lineages of the amphibian-killing fungus in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Mol Ecol 23:774-787

Rosenblum EB, James TY, Zamudio KR, Poorten TJ, Ilut D, Rodriguez D, Eastman JM, Richards-Hrdlicka K, Joneson S, Jenkinson TS, Longcore JE, Parra GO, Toledo LF, Arellano ML, Medina EM, Restrepo S, Flechas SV, Berger L, Briggs CJ, Stajich JE (2013) Complex history of the amphibian-killing chytrid fungus revealed with genome resequencing data. PNAS doi/10.1073/pnas.1300130110

Searle CL, Gervasi SS, Hua J, Hammond JI, Relyea RA, Olson DH, Blaustein AR (2011) Differential Host Susceptibility to *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, an Emerging Amphibian Pathogen. Conserv Biol 25:965–974

Schloegel LM, Ferreira CM, James TY, Hipolito M, Longcore JE, Hyatt AD, Yabsley M, Martins AMCRPF, Mazzoni R, Davies AJ, Daszak P (2009) The North American bullfrog as a reservoir for the spread of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Brazil. Anim Conserv 13:1-9

Schloegel LM, Toledo LF, Longcore JE, Greenspan SE, Vieira CA, Lee M, Zhao S, Wangen C, Ferreira CM, Hipolito M, Davies AJ, Cuomo CA, Daszak P, James TY (2012) Novel, panzootic, and hybrid genotypes of amphibian chytridiomycosis associated with the bullfrog trade. Mol Ecol 21:5162-5177

Skerratt LF, Berger L, Speare R, Cashins S, McDonald KR, Phillott AD, Hines HH, Kenyon N (2007) Spread of chytridiomycosis has caused the rapid global decline and extinction of frogs. EcoHealth 4:125-134

SOS Mata Atlântica, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (2012) Atlas dos remanescentes florestais da Mata Atlântica, período de 2011-2012. www.sosmatatlantica.org.br (accessed 28 March 2014)

Stuart SN, Chason JS, Cox NA, Young BE, Rodrigues ASL, Fischman DL, Waller RW (2004) Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306:1783-1786

Sluys MV, Ferreria TK, Lamarão FRM, Moraes MO (2007) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infects *Melanoprhyniscus moreirae* (Anura Bufonidae) from an Atlantic Rainforest area tatiaia), southeastern Brazil. Froglog 84:6-7

Toledo LF, Batista RF (2012) Integrative study of Brazilian anurans: relationship between geographic distribution and size, environment, taxonomy, and conservation. Biotropica 44:785-792

Toledo LF, Britto FB, Araújo OGS, Giasson LMO, Haddad CFB (2006a) The occurrence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Brazil and the inclusion of 17 new cases of infection. South Am J Herpetol 1:185-191

Toledo LF, Haddad CFB, Carnaval ACO, Britto FB (2006b) A Brazilian anuran (*Hylodes magalhaesi*: Leptodactylidae) infected by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*: a conservation concern. Amphib Reptile Conserv 4:17-21

Toledo LF, Becker CG, Haddad CFB, Zamudio KR (2014) Rarity as an indicator of endangerment in Neotropical frogs. Biol Conserv 179:54-62

Valdujo PH, Carnaval AC, Graham CH (2013) Environmental correlates of anuran beta diversity in the Brazilian Cerrado. Ecography 36:708-717

Verdade VK, Valdujo PH, Carnaval AC, Schiesari L, Toledo LF, Mott T, Andrade GV, Eterovick PC, Menin M, Pimenta BVS, Nogueira C, Lisboa CS, De Paulam CD, Silvano DL (2012) A leap further: the Brazilian Amphibian Conservation Action Plan. Alytes 29:27-42

Vieira CA, Almeida CH, Lambertini C, Leite DS, Toledo LF (2012) First record of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Paraná, Brazil. Herpetol Rev 43:93-94

Vieira CA, Toledo LF, Longcore JE, Longcore JE (2013) Body length of *Hylodes* cf. *ornatus* and *Lithobates catesbeianus* tadpoles, depigmentation of mouthparts, and presence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* are related. Braz J Biol 73: 195-199

Vredenburg VT, Knapp RA, Tunstall TS, Briggs CJ (2010) Dynamics of an emerging disease drive large-scale amphibian population extinctions. PNAS 107:9689-9694

Walker SF, Bosch J, Gomez V, Garner TWJ, Cunningham AA, Schmeller DS, Ninyerola M, Henk DA, Ginestet C, Arthur CP, Fisher MC (2010) Factors driving pathogenicity vs. prevalence of amphibian panzootic chytridiomycosis in Iberia. Ecol Lett 13:372-382

Williams SE, Hero JM (1998) Rainforest frogs of the Australian wet tropics: Guild classification and the ecological similarity of declining species. P Roy Soc Lond B Bio 265:597-602



Figure 1. Positive records of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection reported in the present study for the Atlantic forest, in the states of Pernambuco (PE), Alagoas (AL), Bahia (BA) and Minas Gerais (MG), and for the Amazon forest, in the state of Pará (PA). In parentheses the number of individuals tested positive out of total sampled. Source for remaining forest cover: SOS Mata Atlântica/INPE 2012.



Figure 2. Linear regression of the number of species per family reported in the Atlantic forest on the number of infected species ($r^2 = 0.753$, p < 0.001, df = 14). Dotted lines represent upper and lower 95 % confidence intervals. Aro: Aromobatidae, Bra: Brachycephalidae, Buf: Bufonidae, Cen: Centrolenidae, Cer: Ceratoprhyidae, Cra: Craugastoridae, Cyc: Cycloramphidae, Ele: Eleutherodactylidae, Hem: Hemiphractidae, Hyl: Hylidae, Hlo: Hylodidae, Lep: Leptodactylidae, Mic: Microhylidae, Odo: Odontophrynidae, Pip: Pipidae, Ran: Ranidae.

Table 1. Anuran species sampled for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* presence in the Amazonia

 and in the Atlantic forest. Zoospore equivalents (mean and standard deviation [SD] was

 calculated only for positive individuals).

Таха	Locality/State	Zoospore equivalents			Prevalence
		Mean	Min-Max	SD	individuals)
Bufonidae					
Frostius pernambucensis	Murici/Alagoas	62.17	-	-	1/2
Rhinella crucifer	Murici/Alagoas	4.79	-	-	1/3
Rhinella hoogmoedi	Murici/Alagoas	-	-	-	0/3
Craugastoridae					
Pristimantis vinhai	Itacaré/Bahia	2.37	-	-	1/1
Pristimantis ramagii	Murici/Alagoas	25.51	3.53-135.72	48.71	7/14
Dendrobatidae					
Adelphobates galactonotus	Santa Cruz Dos Martírios/Pará	1.56	-	-	1/1
Hemiphractidae					
Gastrotheca sp.	Murici/Alagoas	-	-	-	0/1
Hylidae					
Aplastodiscus sibilatus	Murici/Alagoas	-	-	-	0/1
Dendropsophus minutus	Murici/Alagoas	12.4	-	-	1/2
Hypsiboas albomarginatus	Murici/Alagoas	1.05	-	-	1/1
Hypsiboas crepitans	Poção/Pernambuco	34.62	2.2-67.05	45.85	2/2
Hypsiboas exastis	Murici/Alagoas	7.88	-	-	1/1
Hypsiboas semilineatuss	Murici/Alagoas	-	-	-	0/1
Phyllodytes cf. acuminatus	Murici/Alagoas	16.33	-	-	1/1
Phyllodytes cf. edelmoi	Murici/Alagoas	25.56	-	-	1/1
Phyllodytes edelmoi	Murici/Alagoas	60.22	2.95-227.27	111.37	4/12
Phyllodytes gyrinaethes	Murici/Alagoas	359.16	1.72-1276.49	555.53	5/24
Phyllodytes tuberculosus	Itacaré/Bahia	2.93	-	-	1/1
Phyllomedusa nordestina	Murici/Alagoas	72.63	3.30-141.95	98.04	2/2
Scinax cf. eurydice	Carangola/Minas Gerais	1.64	-	-	1/1
Scinax nebulosus	Murici/Alagoas	4.32	-	-	1/2
Scinax pachycrus	Poção/Pernambuco	1.88	-	-	1/1
Hylodidae					
Crossodactylus dantei	Murici/Alagoas	2793.2	264.98-5321.50	3575.55	2/2
Leptodactylidae					
Physalaemus cf. cuvieri	Murici/Alagoas	7.91	4.27-11.55	5.14	2/6
Leptodactylus natalensis	Murici/Alagoas	-	-	-	0/1
Leptodactylus cf. mystaceus	Murici/Alagoas	5.1	-	-	1/1
Odontophrynidae					
Proceratophrys renalis	Murici/Alagoas	6.01	-	-	1/2

4 CHAPTER 2

Jumping into a trap: bromeligenous anuran selects optimum microhabitat for the chytrid fungus

Gustavo Ruano-Fajardo^{1,3}*, Luís Felipe Toledo², Tamí Mott³

Diseases of Aquatic Organism: http://www.int-res.com/journals/dao/guidelines-for-dao-authors/

¹ Programa de Pós Graduação em Diversidade Biológica e Conservação nos Trópicos, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Av. Lourival Melo Mota, s/n, Tabuleiro, 57052-970, Maceió, Alagoas, Brazil.

² Laboratório de História Natural de Anfíbios Brasileiros (LaHNAB), Departamento de Biologia
Animal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Monteiro Lobato, 255,
13083-862, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil.

³ Setor de Biodiversidade, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Av. Lourival Melo Mota, s/n, Tabuleiro, 57052-970, Maceió, Alagoas, Brazil.

*Author for correspondence: ruano.gustavo@yahoo.com

Running page head: Bromeligenous selects optimum microhabitat for Bd

ABSTRACT

The chytrid fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) has been identified as a major threat to several amphibian populations inhabiting tropical forests. Amphibians that inhabit the phytotelmata (water tanks) of bromeliads may be especially at risk since the humid, environmentally buffered microhabitat also seems an ideal microhabitat for Bd presence. To test this hypothesis we sampled adults and tadpoles of the bromeligenous anuran Phyllodytes edelmoi (endemic to the northern Brazilian Atlantic forest) from the bromeliad Portea leptantha. We used a qPCR assay for detecting Bd in anurans. We analyzed eight bromeliad characteristics (phytotelm water temperature and pH, canopy closure, phytotelm diameter, leaves number, bromeliad maximum column depth to store water, bromeliad relative volume, and season). Adult frogs tended to select bromeliads with smaller diameter, more leaves and a relatively higher volume of water. Moreover, Bd was more prevalent in frogs inhabiting bromeliad phytotelmata with smaller diameters. Our findings indicate that the selection of smaller diameter bromeliads by P. edelmoi leads to higher probability of Bd presence, suggesting a microhabitat trait with higher number of P. edelmoi individuals that could amplify Bd presence in the environment. More generally, the nonrandom choice of bromeliad by this species demonstrates the importance of fine scale ecological knowledge of both anuran and fungus for predicting and countering the spread of this potentially lethal pathogen.

KEY WORDS: Pathogen, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, *Phyllodytes*, Atlantic forest, Bromeliad

INTRODUCTION

The amphibian-killing chytrid fungus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (hereafter *Bd*) has now been detected in over 500 species worldwide (Olson et al. 2013). Despite of its documented role in amphibian population declines (e.g. Stuart et al. 2008, Wake & Vredenburg 2008, Lips et al. 2008), the spatial and temporal dynamics of *Bd* enzootic stage populations (Lips et al. 2008, Briggs et al. 2010) are poorly understood at a smaller scale. For example, amphibians of the Brazilian Atlantic forest (AF) showed, at a larger scale, an enzootic pattern in apparently non-declining populations that suggests a historic relationship with *Bd* that dates back at least 100 years (Rodriguez et al. 2014). However, the lack of evidence for an association between amphibian population declines and *Bd* reported in AF (Eterovick et al. 2005, Lips et al. 2005) suggests a complex, long-term fine scale interaction of *Bd* an anurans in this domain (Schloegel et al. 2012, Rosenblum et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014).

The AF is characterized by a high diversity, and endemism of many microorganisms, plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates (e.g. Richardson 1999, Myers et al. 2000, Siqueira & Tabarelli 2006, Schulte et al. 2009, Stuckert et al. 2009, Ribeiro et al. 2009, 2011, Gonçalves-Souza et al. 2010, Silva et al. 2012b) probably as a consequence of historical events, topography and climatic variability. Amphibian diversity extends to a diverse repertoire of reproductive modes, morphological and behavioral adaptations (Haddad & Prado 2005). Moreover, recent discoveries of ancient linages, high diversity, endemism of *Bd* (Rosenblum et al. 2013, Schloegel et al. 2012) and detection in more than 130 amphibian species (e.g. Toledo 2006, Carnaval et al. 2006, Vieira et al. 2012, Lisboa et al. 2013, Rosenblum et al. 2013, Valencia-Aguilar et al. *in press*) suggests that many new interactions remain unknown. For instance, climatic variation, *Bd* strain, amphibian susceptibility, associated microfauna and non-amphibian species could all shape how the disease interacts with amphibians in given sites (Fisher et al. 2009, Voyles et al. 2011, 2012, Schmeller et al. 2013).

Bd could be considered as a generalist pathogen of anurans in the AF (Valencia-Aguilar et al. in press). Nevertheless, certain pond breeders and/or terrestrial developers in natural closed canopies may be at greater risk to chytrid infections in the AF (Becker & Zamudio 2011, Gründler et al. 2012). Conversely, open or degraded areas might also function as reservoir areas (Sluys & Hero 2009, Raffel et al. 2010, Becker et al. 2012). Moreover, the natural history or behavioral traits (e.g. microhabitat choice) of some amphibians (Silva et al. 2012a) may permit Bd to persist in suboptimal environments (Becker & Zamudio 2011, Forrest & Schlaepfer 2011). In other words, to understand Bd-amphibian dynamics within a habitat we need to identify the factors that influence their fine scale distribution. Significantly, interactions between bromeliad anurans and Bd have not been studied at a fine scale and it is likely that bromeliad traits that are favored by anurans survival may also be those that promote the survival of Bd for specific reasons. Firstly, bromeliad phytotelm (plural phytotelmata = "plants that hold water") have been identified as perfect microhabitat reservoirs for Bd throughout its distribution (McCraken et al. 2009, Stuckert et al. 2009, Rodriguez et al. 2014). Secondly, the morphology and humidity within phytotelmata could allow both Bd and amphibians to survive adverse environmental conditions, as has been demonstrated for phytotelmata amphibians (Holmes et al. 2014).

Bromeliad importance for amphibians is principally associated with the presence of phytotelm which provide protection to amphibians avoiding desiccation, offering shelter, and food resource (Kitching 2000, Lehtinen 2004). Moreover, amphibians have different degrees of association with phytotelm bromeliads (Bromeliacea) - a plant family mostly distributed in the Neotropics (Lehtinen 2004, Horres et al. 2007, Schulte et al. 2009). Specifically, anurans in bromeliads can be functionally split into bromelicolous (when use bromeliads sporadically as shelter) (Peixoto 1995) and bromeligenous/bromeligens (when they complete their entire life cycle within bromeliads) (e.g. Lehtinen 2004, Pederassi et al. 2012, Sabagh et al. 2012). Moreover,

bromeliad morphological traits are generally chosen by anurans (e.g. Bandoni & Navas 2004, Pederassi et al. 2012) but other types of selection criteria may be triggered by reproductive ecological traits, such as behavior, parental care, food availability, avoidance of predators, avoidance of competition with phylogenetically related species among others (e.g. Brown et al. 2008, Ryan & Barry 2011, Poelman et al. 2013). These traits may be ideal for exploring *Bd*amphibian interactions in the wild.

Here, we use bromeliads to model anuran associations with *Bd* at finer spatial scales. Specifically, we use one species of the bromeligenous genus *Phyllodytes* to explore traits associated with *Bd* in a rocky outcrop habitat. Although the species' systematic status is not clear (Wiens et al. 2005, Jowers et al. 2008, Pyron & Wiens 2011), this genus spawn its eggs and the exotrophic tadpoles develop in bromeliads (reproductive mode 6) (Haddad & Prado 2005), and it is possible that they also show parental care and territorial behavior (Pers. obs.). We evaluate: 1) whether the presence of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* is associated with larger phytotelmata due to its greater environmental buffer capacity; 2) whether the presence of *Bd* in *Phyllodytes edelmoi* are associated with bromeliad characteristics; and 3) if there are similar phytotelmata characteristics related to both *Phyllodytes edelmoi* and *Bd* presence.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Estação Ecológica de Murici (*ESEC de Murici*) is located in the Murici, Flexeiras e Messias municipalities, Alagoas State, Brazil (Figure S1). Samples were taken in two rocky outcrops (between 500 to 600 masl) in an open area inside the *Mata da Bananeira* forest fragment (-35.8667°, -9.2000°; and -35.8583°, -9.21305°, Datum WGS84). The climate is defined as subtropical with dry summer (Köppen's climate classification), being hot and humid, with a rainy season between April and August, and most drought period between November and January (Alvares et al. 2013). This forest fragment has an extension of 26.28 km² and is located at North biogeographic region of the AF, and is defined as Pernambuco interior (semideciduous) forests

eco-region (Vasconcelos et al. 2014). Forests remains in the North AF area represent near 11.5% (56,400 km²) of the original forest (Ribeiro et al. 2009). Certainly, deforestation has been a major threat in this area (Ribeiro et al. 2009).

We focused our sampling in tank bromeliad species *Portea leptantha* Harms (Bromeliaceae, Figure S2 B). It is a medium-sized to large bromeliad, epiphytic or terrestrial, that occurs in rocky patches in northeastern Brazil (Gomes & Alves 2010). We recorded the presence of an endemic hylid bromeligenous species, *Phyllodytes edelmoi* Caramaschi & Freire 2003 (Figure S2 C, D). It is known from the states of Alagoas and Pernambuco (from sea level up to 650 masl). It is taxonomically allocated based on coloration in the *P. luteolus* group (Faivovich et al. 2005). It is a medium-sized species (Snout Vent Length 23.5–28.8 mm), with adults characterized by a deep golden yellow color and a conspicuous pearl white spot on the dorsum on the tadpole (Peixoto et al. 2003).

Two field trips of seven days each (dry and rainy season) were conducted from $20^{\text{th}} - 27^{\text{th}}$ September 2013 (rainy season), and $14^{\text{th}} - 21^{\text{th}}$ March, 2014 (dry season). Floor bromeliad patches were sampled, respectably of 2,323 m² and 4,878 m², on open forest rock outcrops (Figure S2 A). To gather data for anuran selection of bromeliads a "case-control design" was used (Keating & Cherry 2004). In other words, detecting presence of anurans with random samples design required a larger sample for meaningful analysis (Keating & Cherry 2004). In such case, floor bromeliad with phytotelmata were stratified by drawing with replacement a random sample of 19 used locations, and a second random sample of 37 unused locations. We considered a functional sampling unit *Portea leptantha* bromeliads due to its water reservoir characteristics, and focus on the more abundant inhabitant *Bd* host *Phyllodytes edelmoi*. In each bromeliads path, bromeliads were visited only once and measured for microhabitat characteristics at least 8 m apart of each other. In total, 56 bromeliads were sampled across two sites in both seasons (Figure S3).

First, we conducted a visual encounter survey -VES - for the presence of *Phyllodytes* edelmoi in bromeliads during their nocturnal activity with a total of 24 hour/person effort (between19:00-22:00 h). Second, we used a random table to select bromeliads in the day, and marked them as unused bromeliads samples. Afterwards we measured environmental data from both used and unused samples. Specifically, we recorded seven bromeliad morphological characteristics: tank diameter (tank size along the second leaf of the bromeliad), size of the largest leaf, relative volume of water at bromeliad center calculated as V=1/b*h where V is the volume of a conic solid (L) with a distance base "b" and the height "h" (the perpendicular distance from the base to the apex), maximum column capacity to store water (using a steel of 5 meters), number of leaves, pH inside bromeliads' water with a pH tester Model PH-107, water microhabitat temperature in the center of the bromeliad [Tm] with an infrared thermometer Benetech GM 300 (degrees Celsius°). Relative humidity [HR] and ambient air temperature [Ta] were measured with a Digital Hygrothermometer HC-520. Canopy closure was measured with a Nikon Coolpix 950 automatic mode photos on a tripod at 1.45 m above the ground with an angle lens >30° (Paletto & Tosi 2009). The camera LCD was always facing north. Seasons were defined by the total amount of precipitation (mm) of each month of survey. Bromeliads spatial distributions were taken with a Garmin GPS e-trex. Bromeliad characteristics were taken between 10:00-16:30 h and we post-checked for anuran absence in marked as unused bromeliads (night). Finally, adults of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* individuals of were swabbed (Table S1) following the protocol proposed by Hyatt et al. 2007 with some modifications (Lambertini et al. 2013) and tadpoles were collected as swabs (Lambertini et al. 2013).

Molecular Analyses

DNA extraction of each sample was performed according to Boyle et al. 2004 with some modifications (Lambertini et al. 2013). ITS-1 region of *Bd* strain CLFT 023 (from Monte Verde, Camanducaia, Minas Gerais State, Brazil) was used to build the standard curve for infection

intensity quantification (Longo et al. 2013). Results were expressed as zoospore genomic equivalents (GE) per individual.

Data analyses

Images of the canopy were converted to an 8 bit format with a binary (Black/white pixels format), and the percentage of pixels that were black were counted with IMAGEJ analysis software (Schneider et al. 2012). Spatial autocorrelation was assessed by performing Moran's I test for each variable. Also a Pearson's correlation between variables with normal distribution was performed. Consequently, variables without high correlated coefficient (R^2 <0.7) were selected. Variables were model with a logistic regression (GLM) with a "backward-forward stepwise" procedure to suggest which variables had influence in *Bd* presence, *Phyllodytes edelmoi* adult selection, and tadpole presence. Significant likelihood-ratio explained the variation in the dependent variable (presence). Significance was evaluated with a Wald statistic X^2 , based on a predictive model compared to a null model, with a Hosmer-Lemeshow (HL) goodness of fit test (Peng et al. 2002). Because we use a case–control sampling design, close attention is required to interpret patterns correctly. In the same way, a multiple logistic regression (GLM) with a step-by-step procedure was conducted to test for *Bd* preference on anurans found in bromeliad, and to determine which variables are most influential in predicting the presence of *Bd*. All analysis were performed in R-Software Package (Team 2014).

RESULTS

Microclimatic conditions and phytotelm characteristics, for a total of 56 *Portea leptantha* bromeliads, show that microhabitat characteristics are apparently similar in the same season (Table S2). In the same way, presence of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* in 19 bromeliads (Dry season n=7; Rainy season n=12) was observed with similar frequency. It should be noted that significant difference shown for canopy closure in rainy season (Table S2) could be explained by its spatial autocorrelated nature (Table S3). On the other hand, *P. edelmoi* presence and absence in

bromeliad in dry season (Table 1) has significantly higher pH [5 (±0.67 s.d.)] than bromeliads in rainy season (Mann-Whitney U test, z = 14, P= 0.02). Moreover, bromeliads with absence of frogs shown variability in microhabitat temperature (t-test, t = -3.83 P= 0.001) and ambient air temperature (t-test, t = -2.38 P= 0.02) for sampled seasons (Table 1).

Binary GLM analysis for each *Phyllodytes edelmoi* stage (males and tadpoles) in bromeliads took into account eight uncorrelated variables (Table S5, Table S6). In the Moran's I analysis, variables associated with bromeliads samples show no significant autocorrelation (except canopy closure and relative humidity but they were dismissed by stepwise AIC), at least 15 meters apart for both seasons (p < 0.05; Table S3, Table S4, Figure S3). Variables that influenced *P. edelmoi* adult selection and tadpole presence in bromeliads included diameter, number of leaves, and relative bromeliad volume of water (Table 2). Patterns of tadpole presence and adult selection of bromeliad with variable effects were plotted (Figure 1 A- F). Models fit also were obtained by HL goodness of fit, and no significance (p < 0.05) was found for adult selection ($\chi^2 = 6.0777$, df = 8, p = 0.64), and tadpole presence ($\chi^2 = 6.2432$, df = 8, p = 0.62) showing that both models seemed appropriate.

Molecular analyses (qPCR) of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* adults (n = 22) and tadpoles (n = 18) showed presence of *Bd.* In general, bromeliads with positive adult amphibians also showed positive tadpole samples (n = 3), and aggregate tadpoles in bromeliad were positive as well. Similarly, negative samples of bromeligenous adults correspond to negative samples of tadpoles (n = 4). *Phyllodytes edelmoi* adults exhibited an average GE load of 40.6 (\pm 82.7 s.d.), and prevalence was 31.8 % (7/22). In the same way, *P. edelmoi* tadpoles showed a prevalence of 41.1 % (7/17). Eight variables were used for a binary logistic regression (GLM) (microhabitat temperature, pH, diameter, volume, leaves number, column total capacity, canopy closure, and season). Overall variables associations with *Bd* were selected by stepwise AIC (Table 3). Although canopy closure was spatially correlated (p = 0.003), it was not selected by AIC criterion for the model.

Bd presence was associated negatively with phytotelm diameter, and positively with maximum column capacity for store water (Table 3). Nevertheless, only phytotelm diameter was significant for the model (p = 0.039). Variables on the model interaction were plotted for presence of *Bd* from anurans in bromeliads (Figure 2). Hosmer and Lemeshow (HL) goodness of fit test showed no significance (p > 0.05), so the model fit well for our *Bd* presence data (*P. edelmoi* infected with $Bd \chi^2 = 9.4577$, d.f. = 8, p = 0.305).

DISCUSSION

Phyllodytes edelmoi does not choose bromeliads randomly. This species was more frequent in bromeliads with smaller diameter, more number of leaves, and relative more volume of water. Furthermore, the chytrid fungus in *P. edelmoi* was found in individuals that inhabit smaller bromeliad diameter. In other words, this bromeligenous anuran jumps into the trap by selecting the optimum microhabitat for *Bd* presence, probably because is more likely to found more individuals in this bromeliad trait. In density-dependent transmission, contact rate between susceptible and infected individuals could be amplified by the higher number of *P. edelmoi* individuals. For instance, evidence of frog host density affecting the transmission rates of *Bd* were observed in temperate regions and microcosmos studies (e.g. Briggs et al. 2010; Venesky et al. 2014). Alternatively, the selection of this bromeliad trait may reflect that less rich aquatic microfauna in smaller bromeliads may give an advantage for *Bd* presence. In fact, microorganisms such as daphnia, ciliates and rotifers are effective for regulating *Bd* infection because they consume *Bd* zoospores in aquatic environments (e.g. Buck et al. 2011, Schmeller et al. 2013). Also, in our model *P. edelmoi* choice was influenced by water availability, and the number of leaves (that capture water), these traits are maximized in a smaller bromeliad.

Phyllodytes edelmoi individuals were positive for *Bd* in the *Mata Bananeira*, ESEC Murici. Furthermore, the chytrid fungus shows high prevalence on this bromeligenous species, but relative low infection intensity. In fact, high prevalence patterns had been reported for south AF including phytotelm microhabitats (Rodriguez et al. 2014). Specifically, anurans that inhabit phytotelm appear with a high prevalence of 26.8 % (95% CI 0.21.9–32.4) (Rodriguez et al. 2014). Similarly, prevalence reported in our study fits well within the parameters of prevalence in the south of the AF. We found relative fewer *Bd*-infected individuals (tadpoles and adults) during the dry season, and it seems like that there is less infection intensity in this season as well. These open patches of bromeliads are areas exposed to high temperatures, and it is plausible that *Bd* infection has been regulated by a "hot bath" (Forrest & Schlaepfer 2011). Presence of *Bd* was detected despite the temperature constrain in this habitat. Also our observations suggest that vertical transmission of *Bd* may occur between parents and tadpoles. Although our sample is very small, similar research direction was suggested by Holmes et al. (2014) with Jamaican bromeliad anurans with parental care. Alternately, it is possible that adults transmit innate immune defenses for *Bd* resistance (e.g. Walke et al. 2011).

In a region with high temperatures and less precipitation as found in the North AF (Alvares et al. 2013, Vasconcelos et al. 2014), we expected that *Bd* might experiment a limited zoospore growth (Becker & Zamudio 2011), although not its exclusion within bromeliads. Alternately, different *Bd* strains (Rosenblum et al. 2013) may have different precipitation tolerance and temperature constraints (Stevenson et al. 2013), and our pattern could be more influenced by the type of strain (which is unknown for bromeliad species) or by local adaptation that make possible *Bd* persists in this harsh climatic condition. However, the presence of *Bd* in certain bromeliads in rocky outcrops may be explained by other factors. First, Cossel & Lindquist (2009) found *Bd* inside phytotelm water of bromeliads which may be responsible to infect individuals. Second, other host species (bromelicolous anurans) might carry *Bd* inside bromeliads and may alter behaviors, such as schooling or foraging, changing the likelihood of encountering *Bd* or transmitting it to other individuals (Searle et al. 2011). For instance, in the outcrops patches in

the ESEC Murici, *Pristimatis ramagii* (Craugastoridae) was sporadically found in bromeliads. Finally, species that have tolerance to open rocky outcrops may be less susceptible to *Bd* (Becker & Zamudio 2011). Therefore, it may be possible that the presence of *Bd* in open areas might be linked to an interspecific host dynamic (Becker et al. 2014).

Bromeliad morphological traits that are related to size as volume of water, number of leaves, size of leaves, and size of bromeliad have been related to anurans presence in the neotropical region (e.g. Bandoni & Navas 2004, Stuckert et al. 2009, Pederassi et al. 2012, Poelman et al. 2013). Specifically, presence of a bromeligenous hylid species of the genus Scinax in the AF has been related to a larger bromeliad size (Bandoni & Navas 2004, Pederassi et al. 2012). In contrast, our study shows that selection was not random but differs from this bromeligenous anuran. Smaller phytotelm were the preferred choice by P. edelmoi males. This selection can be explained by its reproductive mode (Haddad & Prado 2005) that might show parental care and territorial behavior in tree holes or bromeliads (pers. obs.). For instance, species of poison frogs (family Dendrobatidae) from Costa Rica and Ecuador that display some type of parental care have selected smaller phytotelm (Brown et al. 2008, Ryan & Barry 2011). Moreover, this preference was observed in closer related species, and has evolved at least for this group of dendrobatids (Brown et al. 2008). In other words, breeding habitat selection seems to have evolved together with changes in parental care for niche partitioning. Smaller phytotelm appears to have less microorganism diversity (Kitching 2001, Jocque & Field 2014) and suggests advantages of a less microorganism competitive environment for the tadpoles, predation by other tadpoles or invertebrates (Brown et al. 2008). Furthermore, the choice of P. edelmoi individuals for less rich microhabitat may also be an advantage for both survival of tadpoles and maybe *Bd* infection.

The association between *Bd* and bromeligenous anurans suggests that individual behavior variation might help *Bd* to persist during periods of unfavorable abiotic conditions. Specifically,

the limits for *Bd* survival in open rocky outcrop are apparently restricted by desiccation, as in other regions (Puschendorf et al. 2009, Holmes et al. 2014). Similarly, *Bd* cannot survive for longer periods of time without water availability (Johnson & Speare 2005) and consequently, it also needs the largest water reservoir inside the small bromeliad spectrum. *Bd* model found in *P. edelmoi* may be useful for other bromeligenous or bromeliculas species that depend on bromeliad for attain humidity, and temperature environmental constraints. As for many ecological studies (Kitching 2001) it seems like the tank of bromeliads is a widespread, interesting and replicated scenario for the study of *Bd* dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lisboa B. S., Vieira C. A., Tiburcio I. S., Felix J., Valencia A., Morais J., Fagner W., Silva C. and ICMBio/SISBIO for support in field surveys. Mourão, P. for running qPCR experiments. Malhado A. for comments on the manuscript. Also to Selma Torquato of the Museu de Historia Natural/MUFAL, UFAL, (GCUB) scholarship, (OAS) scholarship, FAPESP and CNPq provided grants and fellowships (2011/51694-7; 405285/2013-2; 302589/2013-9) to LFT. Also to ICMBio/SISBIO for the collection permit (41520-1).

REFERENCES

- Alvares CA, Stape JL, Sentelhas PC, Moraes Gonçalves JL, Sparovek G (2013) Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. Meteorol Zeitschrift 22:711–728
- Bandoni F, Navas CA (2004) Plant Selection and Seasonal Patterns of Vocal Activity in Two Populations of the Bromeligen Treefrog *Scinax perpusillus*. J Herpetol 38:331–339
- Becker CG, Rodriguez D, Longo A V, Talaba AL, Zamudio KR (2012) Disease risk in temperate amphibian populations is higher at closed-canopy sites. PLoS One 7:e48205

- Becker C, Zamudio K (2011) Tropical amphibian populations experience higher disease risk in natural habitats. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2011:1–6
- Becker CG, Rodriguez D, Toledo LF, Longo A V, Lambertini C, Corrêa DT, Leite DS, Haddad CFB, Zamudio KR (2014) Partitioning the net effect of host diversity on an emerging amphibian pathogen. Proc Biol Sci 281
- Boyle DG, Boyle DB, Olsen V, Morgan JT, Hyatt a D (2004) Rapid quantitative detection of chytridiomycosis (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) in amphibian samples using real-time Taqman PCR assay. Dis Aquat Organ 60:141–8
- Briggs CJ, Knapp R, Vredenburg VT (2010) Enzootic and epizootic dynamics of the chytrid fungal pathogen of amphibians. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:9695–700
- Brown JL, Morales V, Summers K (2008) Divergence in parental care, habitat selection and larval life history between two species of Peruvian poison frogs: an experimental analysis. J Evol Biol 21:1534–43
- Buck JC, Truong L, Blaustein AR (2011) Predation by zooplankton on Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis: biological control of the deadly amphibian chytrid fungus? Biodivers Conserv 20:3549–3553
- Carnaval ACODQ, Puschendorf R, Peixoto OL, Verdade VK, Rodrigues MT (2006) Amphibian chytrid fungus broadly distributed in the Brazilian Atlantic rain forest. Ecohealth 3:41–48
- Cossel JR. JO, Lindquist ED (2009) *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in arboreal and lotic water sources in Panama. Herpetol Rev 40:45–47
- Eterovick PC, Carolina A, Queiroz O, Borges-nojosa DM, Silvano L, Segalla MV, Sazima I (2005) Amphibian Declines in Brazil: An Overview1. Biotropica 37:166–179
- Faivovich J, Haddad C, Garcia P (2005) Systematic review of the frog family Hylidae, with special reference to Hylinae: phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic revision. Bull Am Museum Nat Hist:1–240

- Fisher MC, Bosch J, Yin Z, Stead D, Walker J, Selway L, Brown AJP, Walker L, Gow NR, Stajich JE, Garner TWJ (2009) Proteomic and phenotypic profiling of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* shows that genotype is linked to virulence. Mol Ecol 18:415–29
- Forrest MJ, Schlaepfer M a (2011) Nothing a hot bath won't cure: infection rates of amphibian chytrid fungus correlate negatively with water temperature under natural field settings. PLoSOne 6:e28444
- Gomes P, Alves M (2010) Floristic diversity of two crystalline rocky outcrops in the Brazilian northeast semi-arid region. Brazilian J Bot 2:661–676
- Gonçalves-Souza T, Brescovit AD, Rossa-Feres DDC, Romero GQ (2010) Bromeliads as biodiversity amplifiers and habitat segregation of spider communities in a Neotropical rainforest. J Arachnol 38:270–279
- Gründler MC, Toledo LF, Parra-Olea G, Haddad CFB, Giasson LOM, Sawaya RJ, Prado CP a, Araujo OGS, Zara FJ, Centeno FC, Zamudio KR (2012) Interaction between breeding habitat and elevation affects prevalence but not infection intensity of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Brazilian anuran assemblages. Dis Aquat Organ 97:173–84
- Haddad C, Prado C (2005) Reproductive modes in frogs and their unexpected diversity in the Atlantic forest of Brazil. Bioscience 55:207–217
- Holmes I, McLaren K, Wilson B (2014) Precipitation constrains amphibian chytrid fungus infection rates in a terrestrial frog assemblage in Jamaica, West Indies. Biotropica 46:219–228
- Horres R, Schulte K, Weising K, Zizka G (2007) Systematics of Bromelioideae (Bromeliaceae) evidence from molecular and anatomical studies. Aliso:27–43
- Hyatt a D, Boyle DG, Olsen V, Boyle DB, Berger L, Obendorf D, Dalton a, Kriger K, Heros M, Hines H, Phillott R, Campbell R, Marantelli G, Gleason F, Coiling a (2007) Diagnostic

assays and sampling protocols for the detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Dis Aquat Organ 73:175–92

- Jocque M, Field R (2014) Aquatic invertebrate communities in tank bromeliads: how well do classic ecological patterns apply? Hydrobiologia 730:153–166
- Johnson ML, Speare R (2005) Possible modes of dissemination of the amphibian chytrid Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in the environment. Dis Aquat Organ 65:181–6
- Jowers MJ, Downieb JR, Cohen BL (2008) The Golden Tree Frog of Trinidad, *Phyllodytes auratus* (Anura : Hylidae): systematic and conservation status. Stud Neotrop Fauna Environ 43:181–188
- Keating KA, Cherry S (2004) Use and interpretation of logistic regression in habitat-selection studies. J Wildl Manage 68:774–789
- Kitching RL (2000) Food webs and container habitats: the natural history and ecology of phytotelmata. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Kitching R (2001) Food webs in phytotelmata:"bottom-up" and "top-down" explanations for community structure. Annu Rev Entomol 46:729–60
- Kriger KM, Pereoglou F, Hero J-M (2007) Latitudinal variation in the prevalence and intensity of chytrid (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) infection in eastern Australia. Conserv Biol 21:1280–90
- Lambertini C, Rodriguez D, Brito FB, Leite D da S, Toledo LF (2013) Diagnóstico do fungo Quitrídio : *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Herpetol Bras 2:12–17

Lehtinen R (2004) Ecology and evolution of phytotelm-breeding anurans. Misc Publ

Lips KR (2014) A tale of two lineages: unexpected, long-term persistence of the amphibiankilling fungus in Brazil. Mol Ecol 23:747–749

Lips KR, Burrowes PA, Mendelson JR, Parra-Olea G (2005) Amphibian population declines in latin America: a synthesis. Biotropica 37:222–226
- Lips KR, Diffendorfer J, Mendelson JR, Sears MW (2008) Riding the wave: reconciling the roles of disease and climate change in amphibian declines. PLoS Biol 6:e72
- Lisboa BS, Maria J, Neves DM, Augusto F, Tavares-bastos L, Mott T (2013) New records of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Atlantic forest of Northeastern Brazil. North West J Zool 9:210–213
- Longo A V., Rodriguez D, Silva Leite D da, Toledo LF, Mendoza Almeralla C, Burrowes P, Zamudio KR (2013) ITS1 Copy Number Varies among *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* Strains: Implications for qPCR Estimates of Infection Intensity from Field-Collected Amphibian Skin Swabs (T Coenye, Ed.). PLoS One 8:e59499
- McCraken S, Gaertner JP, Forstner MRJ, Hahn D (2009) Detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians from the forest floor to the upper canopy of an ecuadorian amazon lowland rainforest. Herpetol Rev 40:190–195
- Myers N, Mittermeier R a, Mittermeier CG, Fonseca G a da, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403:853–8
- Olson DH, Aanensen DM, Ronnenberg KL, Powell CI, Walker SF, Bielby J, Garner TWJ,
- Weaver G, Fisher MC (2013) Mapping the global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the amphibian chytrid fungus (JE Stajich, Ed.). PLoS One 8:e56802
- Paletto A, Tosi V (2009) Forest canopy cover and canopy closure: comparison of assessment techniques. Eur J For Res 128:265–272
- Pederassi J, Lima MSCS, Peixoto OL, Souza C a S (2012) The choice of bromeliads as a microhabitat by *Scinax argyreornatus* (Anura, Hylidae). Braz J Biol 72:229–33
- Peixoto OL (1995) Associação de anuros a bromelia na mata Atlântica. Rev Univ Rural Série Ciências da Vida 17:75–83
- Peixoto OL, Caramaschi U, Freire EMX (2003) Two New Species of *Phyllodytes* (Anura: Hylidae) From the State of Alagoas, Northeastern Brazil. Herpetologica 59:235–246

- Peng C, Lee K, Ingersoll G (2002) An introduction to logistic regression analysis and reporting. J Educ Res 96:37–41
- Piotrowski JS, Annis SL, Longcore JE (2004) Physiology of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a chytrid pathogen of amphibians. Mycologia 96:9
- Poelman EH, Wijngaarden RP a., Raaijmakers CE (2013) Amazon poison frogs (*Ranitomeya amazonica*) use different phytotelm characteristics to determine their suitability for egg and tadpole deposition. Evol Ecol 27:661–674
- Puschendorf R, Carnaval AC, VanDerWal J, Zumbado-Ulate H, Chaves G, Bolaños F, Alford R (2009) Distribution models for the amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Costa Rica: proposing climatic refuges as a conservation tool. Divers Distrib 15:401–408
- Pyron RA, Wiens JJ (2011) A large-scale phylogeny of Amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. Mol Phylogenet Evol 61:543–83
- R Development Core Team (2014) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.Available: http://www.R-project.org. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.
- Raffel TR, Michel PJ, Sites EW, Rohr JR (2010) What drives chytrid infections in newt populations? associations with substrate, temperature, and shade. Ecohealth 7:526–36
- Ribeiro MC, Martensen AC, Metzger JP, Fortin M (2011) The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: a shrinking biodiversity hotspot. In Biodiversity Hotspots. In: Zachos FE, Habel JC (eds) Biodiversity Hotspots. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, p 405–434
- Ribeiro MC, Metzger JP, Martensen AC, Ponzoni FJ, Hirota MM (2009) The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: How much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. Biol Conserv 142:1141–1153
- Richardson B (1999) The Bromeliad Microcosm and the Assessment of Faunal Diversity in a Neotropical Forest. Biotropica 31:321–336

- Rodriguez D, Becker CG, Pupin NC, Haddad CFB, Zamudio KR (2014) Long-term endemism of two highly divergent lineages of the amphibian-killing fungus in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Mol Ecol 23:774–787
- Rosenblum EB, James TY, Zamudio KR, Poorten TJ, Ilut D, Rodriguez D, Eastman JM, Richards-rdlicka K, Joneson S, Jenkinson TS, Longcore JE, Parra Olea G, Toledo LF,
- Arellano ML, Medina EM, Restrepo S, Flechas SV, Berger L, Briggs CJ, Stajich JE (2013) Complex history of the amphibian-killing chytrid fungus revealed with genome resequencing data. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110:9385–90
- Ryan M, Barry D (2011) Competitive interactions in phytotelmata-breeding pools of two poisondart frogs (Anura: Dendrobatidae) in Costa Rica. J Herpetol 45:438–443
- Sabagh LT, Ferreira GL, Branco CWC, Rocha CFD, Dias NYN (2012) Larval diet in bromeliad pools: a case study of tadpoles of two species in the genus Scinax (Hylidae). Copeia 2012:683–689
- Schloegel LM, Toledo LF, Longcore JE, Greenspan SE, Vieira CA, Lee M, Zhao S, Wangen C, Ferreira CM, Hipolito M, Davies AJ, Cuomo C a, Daszak P, James TY (2012) Novel, panzootic and hybrid genotypes of amphibian chytridiomycosis associated with the bullfrog trade. Mol Ecol 21:5162–77
- Schmeller DS, Blooi M, Martel A, Garner TWJ, Fisher MC, Azemar F, Clare FC, Leclerc C, Jäger L, Guevara-Nieto M, Loyau A, Pasmans F (2013) Microscopic Aquatic Predators Strongly Affect Infection Dynamics of a Globally Emerged Pathogen. Curr Biol:176–180
- Schneider C, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675
- Schulte K, Barfuss MHJ, Zizka G (2009) Phylogeny of Bromelioideae (Bromeliaceae) inferred from nuclear and plastid DNA loci reveals the evolution of the tank habit within the subfamily. Mol Phylogenet Evol 51:327–39

- Searle CL, Biga LM, Spatafora JW, Blaustein AR (2011) A dilution effect in the emerging amphibian pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:16322–6
- Silva FR, Almeida-Neto M, Prado VHM, Haddad CFB, Cerqueira Rossa-Feres D (2012a) Humidity levels drive reproductive modes and phylogenetic diversity of amphibians in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. J Biogeogr 39:1720–1732
- Silva SM, Moraes-Barros N, Ribas CC, Ferrand N, Morgante JS (2012b) Divide to conquer: a complex pattern of biodiversity depicted by vertebrate components in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Biol J Linn Soc 107:39–55
- Siqueira JA, Tabarelli M (2006) Bromeliad species of the Atlantic forest of north-east Brazil: losses of critical populations of endemic species. Oryx 40:218
- Sluys M Van, Hero J-M (2009) How does chytrid infection vary among habitats? The case of Litoria wilcoxii (Anura, Hylidae) in SE Queensland, Australia. Ecohealth 6:576–83
- Stevenson L a, Alford R a, Bell SC, Roznik E a, Berger L, Pike D a (2013) Variation in thermal performance of a widespread pathogen, the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. PLoS One 8:e73830
- Stuart SN, Hoffmann M, Chanson JS, Cox NA, Berridge RJ, Ramani P, Young BE (2008) Threatened amphibians of the world, Lynx Edici. IUCN, Conservation International and Lynx Edicions. First edition: July 2008, Barcelona
- Stuckert AMM, Stone JP, Asper JR, Rinker MG, Cameron L, Trimmer NC, Lindquist ED (2009) Microhabitat use and spatial distribution in Picado's Bromeliad Treefrog , *Isthmohyla picadoi* (Anura , Hylidae). Phyllomedussa 8:125–134
- Toledo L (2006) A Brazilian anuran (*Hylodes magalhaesi*: Leptodactylidae) infected by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*: a conservation concern. Amphib Reptil 4:17–21

- Vasconcelos TS, Prado VHM, Silva FR da, Haddad CFB (2014) Biogeographic distribution patterns and their correlates in the diverse frog fauna of the Atlantic forest hotspot. (CA Navas, Ed.). PloS One 9:e104130
- Valencia-Aguilar A, Ruano-Fajardo G, Labertini C, Leite DDS, Toledo LF, Mott T. *In press*. The chytrid fungus acts as a generalist pathogen that infects species-rich amphibian families in Brazilian rainforests. Dis Aquat Organ
- Venesky MD, Liu X, Sauer EL, Rohr JR (2013) Linking manipulative experiments to field data to test the dilution effect. J Anim Ecol:557–565
- Vieira CA, Almeida CLN, Lambertini C, Leite DDS, Toledo LF (2012) First record of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in Paraná, Brazil. Herpetol Bull 43:93–94
- Voyles J, Johnson LR, Briggs CJ, Cashins SD, Alford R a, Berger L, Skerratt LF, Speare R, Rosenblum EB (2012) Temperature alters reproductive life history patterns in *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a lethal pathogen associated with the global loss of amphibians. Ecol Evol 2:2241–9
- Voyles J, Rosenblum EB, Berger L (2011) Interactions between *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and its amphibian hosts: a review of pathogenesis and immunity. Microbes Infect 13:25– 32
- Wake DB, Vredenburg VT (2008) Are we in the midst of the sixth mass extinction? a view from the world of amphibians. In: In the light of evolution, volume II: biodiversity and extinction, John C. Av. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, p 27–44
- Walke J, Harris R, Reinert L (2011) Social immunity in amphibians: evidence for vertical ransmission of innate defenses. Biotropica 43:396–400
- Wiens JJ, Fetzner Jr JW, Parkinson CL, Reeder TW (2005) Hylid frog phylogeny and sampling strategies for speciose clades. Syst Biol 54:778–807
- Wiens JJ, Kuczynski C, Hua X, Moen DS (2010) An expanded phylogeny of treefrogs (Hylidae) based on nuclear and mitochondrial sequence data. Mol Phylogenet Evol 55:871–82

Table 1. Microclimatic conditions and phytotelm characteristics of bromeliads with (presence) and without (absence) *Phyllodytes edelmoi* during dry and wet seasons. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad length of biggest leaf = Length, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, Bromeliad maximum column size to store water = Column, bromeliad relative volume = Volume, pH = pH, microhabitat temperature = Tm, ambient air temperature = Ta, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, proportion of obscured sky by vegetation in a single point = Canopy closure (%). All data given as mean \pm standard deviation (s.d); (*) Significance level for (W) Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons or (t) Welch t-test (p<0.05); (·) Significance level (p<0.1).

Variable	Presence				Absence			
Season	Dry Mean (s.d.)	Rainy Mean(s.d.)	Test	Р	Dry Mean (s.d.)	Rainy Mean (s.d.)	Test	Р
Diameter (cm)	15.24 (± 4.26)	17.3 (± 3.15)	t = -1.13	0.28	18.3 (± 3.05)	17.7(± 4.24)	t = -0.50	0.62
Length (cm)	64.5 (±24.7)	78.1 (± 14.64)	t = -1.31	0.22	77.3 (± 14.9)	67.6 (±17.2)	t = -1.92	0.06
Leaves (number)	15 (± 2.38)	16.1 (±1.87)	W = 28	0.34	14.1 (± 2.6)	14.8 (± 3.05)	W = 187	0.55
Column depth (cm)	27.6 (±6.9)	30.4 (± 3.76)	t = -0.99	0.35	26.8 (±5.2)	31.3 (± 4.63)	t = 2.92	0.005*
Volume (L)	0.17 (± 0.13)	0.21(± 0.09)	t = -0.69	0.50	0.14 (±0.07)	0.17(± 0.09)	t = 0.96	0.34
рН	5 (± 0.67)	4.3 (± 0.72)	W = 14	0.03*	5.25 (± 0.46)	4.25 (± 0.52)	W = 39	0.001*
Tm (°C)	27.5 (± 3.25)	26.4 (± 4.57)	W = 29	0.42	27.8 (±3.01)	24.1 (± 3.06)	t = -3.83	0.001*
Ta (°C)	30.53 (± 2.38)	29.3 (± 4.93)	t = = 0.7	0.49	31.57 (± 2.94)	28.2 (± 5.52)	t = -2.38	0.02*
HR (%)	66.29 (±6.47)	68.8 (± 14.58)	t = -0.50	0.62	64.24 (± 8.30)	66.2(± 15.39)	t = 0.51	0.61
Canopy closure (%)	29.02 (± 22.2)	5.9 (± 13.03)	W = 13	0.01*	20.6 (± 20.44)	9.81 (± 12.49)	W = 155	0.15

Table 2. Logistic regressions of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* adult males and tadpoles presence in bromeliads selected by stepwise procedure using Akaike's information criterion. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, bromeliad relative volume = Volume. Adult (n=50); Tadpole (n=56). (*) Significance level (P < 0.05) for Wald z-statistic test.

Stage	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	Wald z-statistic	Pr(> z)
Adult Male	(Intercept)	-2.0043	2.6203	-0.765	0.4443
	Bromeliad diameter	-45.6142	18.3325	-2.488	0.0128*
	Leaves	0.411	0.2046	2.009	0.0446*
	Volume	11.1298	5.3394	2.084	0.0371*
Tadpole	(Intercept)	-2.3674	1.9885	-1.191	0.2338
	Bromeliad diameter	-28.2119	12.4228	-2.271	0.0231*
	Leaves	0.3539	0.1489	2.377	0.0174*
	Volume	5.891	4.0026	1.472	0.1411

Table 3. Logistic regressions for the presence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in bromeliads in habitat by *Phyllodytes edelmoi* selected by stepwise procedure using Akaike's information criterion. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad maximum Column capacity to store water = Column depth. (n = 20). (*) Significance level (P < 0.05) for Wald z-statistic test. () Significance level (P < 0.1).

Species	Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	Wald z	Pr(> z)
Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis	(Intercept)	1.226	3.16	0.388	0.6979
	Bromeliad diameter	-108.328	52.388	-2.068	0.0387*
	Column depth	52.854	29.592	1.786	0.0741.



Figure 1. Interaction of bromeliad diameter (cm), number of leaves, and relative volume of water (L) in the logit model fit to *Phyllodytes edelmoi* adult males presence data in *Portea leptantha* bromeliad. Vertical axis is labeled on the probability scale, and a 95-percent pointwise confidence interval is drawn around the estimated effect. Horizontal axis shows observe values. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad relative volume of tank = Volume. (A, C, E) Adult and (B, D, F) Tadpole.



Figure 2. Interaction of bromeliad diameter (cm) and bromeliad maximum column depth to store water (ml) in the logit model fit to *Bd* presence data in *Portea leptantha* bromeliad. (A) Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, (B) Bromeliad maximum Column capacity to store water = Column depth

5 GENERAL DISCUSSION

Survey data in the ESEC of Murici, Alagoas showed that *Bd* is a common pathogen in amphibians on this area. The chytrid fungus was found on 6 of 7 screened families and 71.2% (15/21) of those species were positive for *Bd*. Nevertheless, amphibian die-offs or mortality were neither observed nor evidence of adult clinical signals for *Bd* was detected. This supports the idea that for the Atlantic forest adults are asymptomatic to *Bd* and apparently it is not the main factor of amphibian decline in the Atlantic forest (BECKER et al., 2007; RODRIGUEZ et al., 2014; TOLEDO, 2006).

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis was found in three bromeligenous species (*Phyllodytes acuminatus, P. edelmoi*, and *P. gyrinaethes*) in the ESEC Murici, north Atlantic forest. Furthermore, *Bd* had high prevalence on these bromeligenous species (e.g. *P. edelmoi* 31.8 % (7/22); *P. gyrinaethes* 20.8 % (5/24); excluding *P. acuminatus* n=1), but relative low infection intensity. Specifically, *P. edelmoi* adults exhibited an average GE load of 40.6 (± 82.7 s.d.) and *P. gyrinaethes* have higher average of GE load 359.16(± 555.53 s.d.). In fact, high prevalence patterns had been reported for South Atlantic forest phytotelm microhabitat samples (RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014). Similarly, prevalence reported in our study fits well within the prevalence parameters (95% CI 0.21.9 – 32.4) in the south of the Atlantic forest (RODRIGUEZ *et al.*, 2014). Until now, the strain found in the ESEC de Murici was *Bd*-GPL but the sample was extracted from another hylid frog *Aplastodiscus sibilatus*. Specific survey for *Bd* strain is needed for *Phyllodytes*.

The choice of *P. edelmoi* individuals for less rich microhabitat may also be an advantage for both survival of tadpoles and maybe *Bd* infection. Smaller phytotelm appears to have less microorganism diversity (KITCHING, 2001; JOCQUE; FIELD, 2014) and proposes advantages of a less microorganism competitive environment for the tadpoles, or predation by other tadpoles or invertebrates (BROWN; MORALES; SUMMERS, 2008). Also microorganisms such as daphnia, ciliates and rotifers consume *Bd* zoospores in aquatic environments (BUCK; TRUONG; BLAUSTEIN, 2011; SCHMELLER et al., 2013) suggesting a less diverse microcosms for *Bd* predators in smaller bromeliads.

Phyllodytes edelmoi does not select bromeliad tanks randomly. The species was more frequent in bromeliads with smaller diameter, more number of leaves, and relative more volume of water. These bromeliad traits possibly were maximized in a smaller phytotelm. This selection can be explained in parts by its reproductive mode (HADDAD; PRADO, 2005) that show some kind of parental care and territorial behavior (Pers. obs.) in tree holes or bromeliads. In other words, breeding habitat selection may evolved together with changes in parental care for niche partitioning and for a successful survival (BROWN; MORALES; SUMMERS, 2008). The North Atlantic forest which has high temperature regimes (ALVARES et al., 2013) could be a good example of how constraints may produce a careful pick of the type of bromeliad by bromeligenous species.

Phyllodytes edelmoi model may be useful for other bromeligenous species that depend on bromeliad for attain humidity, and surmount temperature environmental constraints. Also this association between *Bd* and bromeligenous anurans suggests that individual behavior variation might help *Bd* to persist during periods of unfavorable abiotic conditions and possibly amplifying the infecting to more individuals. Specifically, the limits for *Bd* survival in open rocky outcrop are apparently restricted by desiccation, as in other regions (HOLMES; MCLAREN; WILSON, 2014; PUSCHENDORF et al., 2011). Furthermore, discovering which traits are important for *Bd* presence may lead to effective *in situ* mitigation procedures in a small scale context. As for many ecological studies (KITCHING, 2001) it seems like the tank of bromeliads is a widespread, interesting and replicated scenario for the study of *Bd* dynamics.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis is a common pathogen of anurans species in the Brazilian Atlantic forest domain.

The chytrid fungus was found positive for three anurans species, *Phyllodytes acuminatus, P. edelmoi* and *P. gyrinaethes,* in the ESEC de Murici, Alagoas State, Brazil, suggesting that is a common disease for bromeligenous anurans in this region.

The chytrid fungus in two bromeligenous species, *Phyllodytes edelmoi* and *P. gyrinaethes,* has high prevalence but relative low infection intensity in the ESEC de Murici, Alagoas State, Brazil. This high prevalence is similar to southern Atlantic forest *Bd* dynamic.

The chytrid fungus had higher probability of presence in *Phyllodytes edelmoi* individuals that inhabit smaller bromeliads with maximum capacity to store water.

Phyllodytes edelmoi individuals selected smaller *Portea leptantha* bromeliads with more leaves and relative more volume of water. These traits could be maximized in smaller bromeliads for its dependence on bromeliad for attain humidity, and surmount temperature environmental constraints.

Phyllodytes edelmoi preference of smaller *Portea leptantha* bromeliads is associated with more infected individuals of *Bd* and thus amplifying *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* presence in the environment. In other words, bromeliad traits chosen by this bromeligenous species showed that frog optimum microhabitat may be related to the chytrid fungus probability of presence due to the density of individuals that choose this microhabitat.

REFERENCES

- 1. ALVARES, C. A. et al. Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, v. 22, n. 6, p. 711–728, 2013.
- BANDONI, F.; NAVAS, C. A. Plant Selection and Seasonal Patterns of Vocal Activity in Two Populations of the Bromeligen Treefrog Scinax perpusillus. Journal of Herpetology, v. 38, n. 3, p. 331–339, 2004.
- 3. BATAILLE, A. et al. Genetic evidence for a high diversity and wide distribution of endemic strains of the pathogenic chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in wild Asian amphibians. Molecular ecology, v. 22, n. 16, p. 4196–4209, 2013.
- BECKER, C.; ZAMUDIO, K. Tropical amphibian populations experience higher disease risk in natural habitats. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 2011, p. 1–6, 2011.
- 5. BECKER, C. G. et al. Habitat split and the global decline of amphibians. Science, v. 318, n. 5857, p. 1775–1777, 2007.
- BERGER, L. et al. Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 95, n. 15, p. 9031–9036, 1998.
- 7. BERGER, L. et al. Life cycle stages of the amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Diseases of aquatic organisms, v. 68, n. 1, p. 51–63, 2005.
- 8. BLAUSTEIN, A. R. et al. Direct and Indirect Effects of Climate Change on Amphibian Populations. Diversity, v. 2, n. 2, p. 281–313, 2010.
- BLAUSTEIN, A. R. et al. Interspecific Variation in Susceptibility of Frog Tadpoles to the Pathogenic Fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Conservation Biology, v. 19, n. 5, p. 1460–1468, 2005.
- 10. BLAUSTEIN, A. R. et al. The complexity of amphibian population declines: understanding the role of cofactors in driving amphibian losses. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, v. 1223, p. 108–119, 2011.
- 11.BOKERMANN, W. C. A. O GÊNERO PHYLLODYTES Wagler, 1830 (Anura, Hylidae). Anais da Academia de Ciências brasileria, v. 38, n. 2, p. 1–12, 1966.
- 12. BREM, F. M. R.; LIPS, K. R. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection patterns among Panamanian amphibian species, habitats and elevations during epizootic

and enzootic stages. Diseases of aquatic organisms, v. 81, n. 3, p. 189–202, 2008.

- BROWN, J. L.; MORALES, V.; SUMMERS, K. Divergence in parental care, habitat selection and larval life history between two species of Peruvian poison frogs: an experimental analysis. Journal of evolutionary biology, v. 21, n. 6, p. 1534–1543, 2008.
- 14. BUCK, J. C.; TRUONG, L.; BLAUSTEIN, A. R. Predation by zooplankton on *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*: biological control of the deadly amphibian chytrid fungus? Biodiversity and Conservation, v. 20, n. 14, p. 3549–3553, 2011.
- 15. BUOSI, P. R. B. et al. Multiple lines of evidence shed light on the occurrence of paramecium (ciliophora,oligohymenophorea) in bromeliad tank water. The Journal of eukaryotic microbiology, v. 61, n. 1, p. 2–10, 2014.
- 16. CÂMARA, I. G. Brief history of conservation in the Atlantic Forest. In: GALINDO-LEAL, C., CÂMARA, I. G. (Ed.), The Atlantic Forest of South America: Biodiversity Status, Threats, and Outlook. Washington: CABS and Island Press. 2003. p. 31–42.
- CAMPBELL, C. R. et al. Frog skin epithelium: electrolyte transport and chytridiomycosis. The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology, v. 44, n. 3, p. 431–434, 2012.
- CAMPOS, T. F. et al. Larval morphology and advertisement call of *Phyllodytes* acuminatus Bokermann, 1966 (Anura: Hylidae) from Northeastern Brazil. Zootaxa, v. 3779, n. 1, p. 93–100, 2014.
- CARAMASCHI, U. L. I. S. S. E. S.; PEIXOTO, O. L.; RODRIGUES, T;. "Revalidation and redescription of Phyllodytes wuchereri (Peters, 1873)(Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae)." Arquivos do Museu Nacional, v. 62, n. 2, 185-191, 2004.
- 20. CARNAVAL, A. C. et al. Prediction of phylogeographic endemism in an environmentally complex biome. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society, v. 281, n. 1792, 2014. Available < http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25122231>. Accessed: jan.-2-2015.
- 21. CARNAVAL, A. C. O. D. Q. et al. Amphibian chytrid fungus broadly distributed in the Brazilian Atlantic rain forest. EcoHealth, v. 3, n. 1, p. 41–48, 2006.

- 22. CATENAZZI, A.; VREDENBURG, V. T.; LEHR, E. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the live frog trade of Telmatobius (Anura : Ceratophryidae) in the tropical Andes. Diseases Of Aquatic Organisms, n. 92, p. 187-191, 2010.
- 23. COSSEL JR., J. O.; LINDQUIST, E. D. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in arboreal and lotic water sources in Panama. Herpetological Review, v. 40, n. 1, p. 45–47, 2009.
- 24. CRUZ, C. A. G.; NEVES, R. F.; CARDOSO, M. C. D. S. Descripition of a new species of *Phyllodytes* wagler, 1830 (Anura, Hylidae) from the Atlantic rain forest of Minas Gerais and Bahia, Brazil. Rio de Janeiro: Arquivos do Museu Nacional, v. 1830, p. 321–324, 2006.
- 25. DA SILVA, J.M.C.; CASTELETI, C. H. M. Status of the biodiversity of the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. In: GALINDO-LEAL, C.; CÂMARA, I. G. (Ed.). The Atlantic Forest of South America: Biodiversity Status, Threats, and Outlook. Washington: CABS and Island Press. 2003. p. 43-59.
- 26. DE LIMA, M. G.; LINGNAU, R.; SKUK, G. O. The advertisement call of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* (Anura , Hylidae). South American Journal of Herpetology, v. 3, n. 2, p. 118–121, 2008
- 27. DRUMMOND, L. O. Efeito do fogo na composição, distribuição e dieta de umataxocenose de anfíbios anuros de campos rupestres em Ouro Preto, MG. 91 p. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ecologia de Biomas Tropicais). Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto. Ouro Preto, Brasil. 2009.
- 28. FARRER, R. A et al. Multiple emergences of genetically diverse amphibianinfecting chytrids include a globalized hypervirulent recombinant lineage. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 108, n. 46, p. 18732–18736, 2011.
- 29. FISHER, M. C.; GARNER, T. W. J.; WALKER, S. F. Global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and amphibian chytridiomycosis in space, time, and host. Annual review of microbiology, v. 63, p. 291–310, 2009.
- 30. FLECHAS, S. V.; SARMIENTO, C.; AMÉZQUITA, A. Bd on the beach: high prevalence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the lowland forests of Gorgona Island (Colombia, South America). EcoHealth, v. 9, n. 3, p. 298–302, 2012.
- 31.FREIRE, E. M. X.; Peixoto, O. L (2004). *Phyllodytes gyrinaethes*. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.1. Available: < www.iucnredlist.org > Accessed:dec. 8, 2014.

- 32. FROST, D. R. (2014). Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 5.6. Available: < http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html >. Accessed: Jan 1, 2014.
- 33. GARMYN, A. et al. Waterfowl : Potential Environmental Reservoirs of the Chytrid Fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. PlosOne, v. 7, n. 4, 2012. Available: < http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0035038 > Accessed: jul.-4-2013.
- 34. GILLESPIE, G. R. et al. Rapid decline and extinction of a montane frog population in southern Australia follows detection of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Animal Conservation, v., n., p. 1-8, 2014.
- 35. GONÇALVES-SOUZA, T. et al. Bromeliads as biodiversity amplifiers and habitat segregation of spider communities in a Neotropical rainforest. Journal of Arachnology, v. 38, n. 2, p. 270–279, 2010.
- 36. GRÜNDLER, M. C. et al. Interaction between breeding habitat and elevation affects prevalence but not infection intensity of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Brazilian anuran assemblages. Diseases of aquatic organisms, v. 97, n. 3, p. 173–184, 2012.
- 37. HADDAD, C.; PRADO, C. Reproductive modes in frogs and their unexpected diversity in the Atlantic forest of Brazil. BioScience, v. 55, n. 3, p. 207–217, 2005.
- 38. HADDAD, C. F. B. et al. "Guia dos Anfíbios da Mata Atlântica: diversidade e biologia." Anolisbooks, São Paulo 2013. p. 1 543.
- 39. HAMILTON, P. T. et al. Higher temperature variability increases the impact of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and shifts interspecific interactions in tadpole mesocosms. Ecology and evolution, v. 2, n. 10, p. 2450-2459, 2012.
- 40. HAN, B. A.; BRADLEY, P. W.; BLAUSTEIN, A. R. Ancient behaviors of larval amphibians in response to an emerging fungal pathogen, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, v. 63, n. 2, p. 241–250, 2008.
- 41. HAYES, T. B. et al. Atrazine induces complete feminization and chemical castration in male African clawed frogs (*Xenopus laevis*). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 107, n. 10, p. 4612–4617, 2010a.

- 42. HAYES, T. B. et al. The cause of global amphibian declines: a developmental endocrinologist's perspective. The Journal of experimental biology, v. 213, n. 6, p. 921–933, 2010b.
- 43. HOF, C. et al. Additive threats from pathogens, climate and land-use change for global amphibian diversity. Nature, v. 480, n. 7378, p. 516–519, 2011.
- 44. HOLMES, I.; MCLAREN, K.; WILSON, B. Precipitation constrains amphibian chytrid fungus infection rates in a terrestrial frog assemblage in Jamaica, West Indies. Biotropica, v. 46, n. 2, p. 219–228, 2014.
- 45. HOORN, C. et al. Amazonia through time: Andean uplift, climate change, landscape evolution, and biodiversity. Science, v. 330, n. 6006, p. 927–931, 2010.
- 46. HORRES, R. et al. Systematics of Bromelioideae (Bromeliaceae)—evidence from molecular and anatomical studies. Aliso, n. 23, p. 27–43, 2007.
- 47. HUSSAIN, Q. A.; PANDIT, A. K. Global amphibian declines: A review. International journal of biodiversity and conservation, v. 4, n. 10, p. 348–357, 2012.
- 48.IUCN. (2014). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. Available: < http://www.iucnredlist.org > Accessed: nov. 17, 2014.
- 49. JOCQUE, M.; FIELD, R. Aquatic invertebrate communities in tank bromeliads: how well do classic ecological patterns apply? Hydrobiologia, v. 730, n. 1, p. 153–166, 2014.
- 50. JOHNSON, M. L.; SPEARE, R. Possible modes of dissemination of the amphibian chytrid *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the environment. Diseases of aquatic organisms, v. 65, n. 3, p. 181–186, 2005.
- 51. JOHNSON, M.; SPEARE, R. Survival of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in water: quarantine and disease control implications. Emerging infectious diseases, v. 9, n. 8, p. 1–4, 2003.
- 52. KILBURN, V.; IBÁÑEZ, R.; GREEN, D. Reptiles as potential vectors and hosts of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Panama. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, v. 97, n. 2, p. 127–134, 2011.
- 53. KILPATRICK, A M.; BRIGGS, C. J.; DASZAK, P. The ecology and impact of chytridiomycosis: an emerging disease of amphibians. Trends in ecology & evolution, v. 25, n. 2, p. 109–118, 2010.

- 54. KITCHING, R. Food webs in phytotelmata: "bottom-up" and "top-down" explanations for community structure. Annual Review of Entomology, v. 46, p. 729–760, 2001.
- 55. KITCHING, R. L. Food webs and container habitats: the natural history and ecology of phytotelmata. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. p. 1 447
- 56. LEHTINEN, R. Ecology and evolution of phytotelm-breeding anurans. Miscellaneous publications, n. 193, p. 1–73, 2004.
- 57. LEROY, C. et al. Mutualistic ants contribute to tank-bromeliad nutrition. Annals of botany, v. 112, n. 5, p. 919–926, 2013.
- 58. LESBARRERES, D. et al. Ranavirus: past, present and future. Biology letters, v. 8, n. 4, p. 481–483, 2012.
- 59. LIPS, K. R. A tale of two lineages: unexpected, long-term persistence of the amphibian-killing fungus in Brazil. Molecular Ecology, v. 23, p. 747–749, 2014.
- 60. LIPS, K. R. Decline of a Tropical Montane Amphibian Fauna. Conservation Biology, v. 12, n. 1, p. 106–117, 1998.
- 61.LIPS, K. R. et al. Riding the wave: reconciling the roles of disease and climate change in amphibian declines. Plos biology, v. 6, n. 3, 2008. Available: < http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pbio.00600 http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pbio.00600 http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pbio.00600 http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pbio.00600
- 62. LISBOA, B. S. et al. New records of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Atlantic forest of Northeastern Brazil. North-Western Journal of Zoology, v. 9, n. 1, p. 210–213, 2013.
- LONGCORE, J. E.; PESSIER, A. P.; NICHOLS, D. K. Batrachochytrium Dendrobatidis gen. et sp. nov., a Chytrid Pathogenic to Amphibians. Mycologia, v. 91, n. 2, p. 219–227, 1999.
- 64. LONGO, A. V. et al. Lability in host defenses: terrestrial frogs die from chytridiomycosis under enzootic conditions. Journal of wildlife diseases, v. 49, n. 1, p. 197–199, 2013.
- 65. MCCRAKEN, S. et al. Detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians from the forest floor to the upper canopy of an ecuadorian amazon lowland rainforest. Herpetological Review, v. 40, n. 2, p. 190–195, 2009.

- 66. MCMAHON, T. A. et al. Chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* has nonamphibian hosts and releases chemicals that cause pathology in the absence of infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 110, n. 1, p. 210–215, 2013.
- 67. MITTERMEIER, R. P. et al. Hotspots revisited: earth's biologically richest and most endangered terrestrial ecoregions. Washington: Cemex. 2005.
- 68. MYERS, N. et al. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature, v. 403, n. 6772, p. 853–858, 2000.
- 69. OLSON, D. H. et al. Mapping the global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the amphibian chytrid fungus. PlosOne, v. 8, n. 2, 2013. Available: http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.005680 > Accessed: feb.-27-2013.
- 70. PATZ, J. A. et al. Effects of environmental change on emerging parasitic diseases. International Journal for Parasitology, v. 30, n. 12, p. 1395–1405, 2000.
- 71.PEDERASSI, J. et al. The choice of bromeliads as a microhabitat by Scinax argyreornatus (Anura, Hylidae). Brazilian journal of biology v. 72, n. 2, p. 229-233, 2012.
- 72. PEIXOTO, O. L. Associação de anuros a bromelia na mata Atlântica. Revista Universidade Rural, Série Ciências da Vida, v. 17, n. 1960, p. 75–83, 1995.
- 73. PEIXOTO, O. L.; CARAMASCHI, U.; FREIRE, E. M. X. Two New Species of *Phyllodytes* (Anura: Hylidae) From the State of Alagoas, Northeastern Brazil. Herpetologica, v. 59, n. 2, p. 235–246, 2003.
- 74.PEIXOTO, O. L; PIMENTA, B. 2004. *Phyllodytes acuminatus*. In: IUCN 2014 *Phyllodytes gyrinaethes*. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.1. Available: < www.iucnredlist.org > Accessed:dec. 8, 2014.
- 75. PIOTROWSKI, J. S.; ANNIS, S. L.; LONGCORE, J. E. Physiology of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a chytrid pathogen of amphibians. Mycologia, v. 96, n. 1, p. 9-15, 2004.
- 76. POELMAN, E. H.; WIJNGAARDEN, R. P. A.; RAAIJMAKERS, C. E. Amazon poison frogs (*Ranitomeya amazonica*) use different phytotelm characteristics to determine their suitability for egg and tadpole deposition. Evolutionary Ecology, v. 27, n. 4, p. 661–674, 2013.
- 77. PUSCHENDORF, R. et al. Environmental refuge from disease-driven amphibian extinction. Conservation biology, v. 25, n. 5, p. 956–964, 2011.

- 78.RACHOWICZ, L. J. et al. The Novel and Endemic Pathogen Hypotheses: Competing Explanations for the Origin of Emerging Infectious Diseases of Wildlife. Conservation Biology, v. 19, n. 5, p. 1441–1448, 2005.
- 79. RIBEIRO, M. C. et al. The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: a shrinking biodiversity hotspot. In Biodiversity Hotspots. In: ZACHOS, F. E.; HABEL, J. C. (Org.). Biodiversity Hotspots. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011. p. 405–434.
- 80. _______. M. C. et al. The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: How much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. Biological Conservation, v. 142, n. 6, p. 1141–1153, 2009.
- 81. ROBERTO, I.; AVILA, R. The advertisement call of *Phyllodytes gyrinaethes* Peixoto, Caramaschi & Freire, 2003 (Anura, Hylidae). Zootaxa, v. 3669, n. 2, p. 193–196, 2013.
- 82. RÖDDER, D. et al. Global Amphibian Extinction Risk Assessment for the Panzootic Chytrid Fungus. Diversity, v. 1, n. 1, p. 52–66, 2009.
- RODRIGUEZ, D. et al. Long-term endemism of two highly divergent lineages of the amphibian-killing fungus in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Molecular ecology, v. 23, n. 4, p. 774–787, 2014.
- 84. ROSENBLUM, E. B. et al. Complex history of the amphibian-killing chytrid fungus revealed with genome resequencing data. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 110, n. 23, p. 9385–9390, 2013.
- 85. ROSENBLUM, E. B. et al. The deadly chytrid fungus: a story of an emerging pathogen. Plos pathogens, v. 6, n. 1, 2010. Available: < <u>http://www.plospathogens.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.ppat.10</u> 00550 > Accessed: jan.-15-2010.
- 86. RYAN, M.; BARRY, D. Competitive interactions in phytotelmata-breeding pools of two poison-dart frogs (Anura: Dendrobatidae) in Costa Rica. Journal of Herpetology, v. 45, n. 4, p. 438–443, 2011.
- 87. SCARANO, F. R. Plant communities at the periphery of the Atlantic rain forest: Rare-species bias and its risks for conservation. Biological Conservation, v. 142, n. 6, p. 1201–1208, 2009.
- 88.SCHEELE, B. C. et al. Interventions for Reducing Extinction Risk in Chytridiomycosis-Threatened Amphibians. Conservation biology: the journal of the Society for Conservation Biology, v. 28, n. 5, p. 1195–1205, 2014.

- 89. SCHLOEGEL, L. M. et al. Novel, panzootic and hybrid genotypes of amphibian chytridiomycosis associated with the bullfrog trade. Molecular ecology, v. 21, n. 21, p. 5162–5177, 2012.
- 90. SCHMELLER, D. S. et al. Microscopic Aquatic Predators Strongly Affect Infection Dynamics of a Globally Emerged Pathogen. Current biology, p. 176–180, 2013.
- 91.SCHULTE, K.; BARFUSS, M. H. J.; ZIZKA, G. Phylogeny of Bromelioideae (Bromeliaceae) inferred from nuclear and plastid DNA loci reveals the evolution of the tank habit within the subfamily. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution, v. 51, n. 2, p. 327–339, 2009.
- 92. SHAPARD, E. J.; MOSS, A S.; SAN FRANCISCO, M. J. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis can infect and cause mortality in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Mycopathologia, v. 173, n. 2-3, p. 121–126, 2012.
- 93. SIQUEIRA, J. A.; TABARELLI, M. Bromeliad species of the Atlantic forest of north-east Brazil: losses of critical populations of endemic species. Oryx, v. 40, n. 02, p. 218, 2006.
- 94. STEVENSON, L. A et al. Variation in thermal performance of a widespread pathogen, the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Plos One, v. 8, n. 9, 2013. Available: <"http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi% 2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0073830" > Accessed: jan.-3-2013.
- 95. STUART, S. N. et al. Threatened amphibians of the world. Barcelona: Lynx Edicions/IUCN, Conservation International, 2008. 758 p.
- 96. STUCKERT, A. M. M. et al. Microhabitat use and spatial distribution in Picado 's Bromeliad Treefrog, *Isthmohyla picadoi* (Anura, Hylidae). Phyllomedussa, v. 8, n. 2, p. 125–134, 2009.
- 97.SYMONDS, E. P. et al. Growth characteristics and enzyme activity in *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* isolates. Mycopathologia, v. 166, n. 3, p. 143–147, 2008.
- 98. TARVIN, R. D.; PEÑA, P.; RON, S. R. Changes in Population Size and Survival in Atelopus spumarius (Anura: Bufonidae) Are Not Correlated with Chytrid Prevalence. Journal of Herpetology, v. 48, n. 3, p. 291–297, 2014.
- 99. TOLEDO, L. A Brazilian anuran (*Hylodes magalhaesi*: Leptodactylidae) infected by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*: a conservation concern. Amphibian and reptil, v. 4, n. 1, p. 17–21, 2006.

- 100. VASCONCELOS, T. S. et al. Biogeographic distribution patterns and their correlates in the diverse frog fauna of the Atlantic forest hotspot. Plos One, v. 9, n. 8, 2014. Available: <<u>http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371</u>
 %2Fjournal.pone.0104130 > Accessed: jan.-3-2013.
- 101. VALENCIA-AGUILAR, A. et al. The chytrid fungus acts as a generalist pathogen that infects species-rich amphibian families in Brazilian rainforests. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, In press.
- 102. VENESKY, M. D. et al. Confronting inconsistencies in the amphibianchytridiomycosis system: implications for disease management. Biological reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, v. 89, n. 2, p. 477–483, 2014.
- 103. VENESKY, M. D.; WASSERSUG, R. J.; PARRIS, M. J. Fungal pathogen changes the feeding kinematics of larval anurans. The Journal of parasitology, v. 96, n. 3, p. 552–557, 2010.
- 104. VERDADE, V. K. et al. A leap further: the Brazilian Amphibian Conservation Action Plan. Alytes, v. 29, p. 27–42, 2012.
- 105. VIEIRA, C. A. et al. First record of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Paraná, Brazil. Herpetological Bulletin, v. 43, n. 1, p. 93–94, 2012.
- VIEIRA, C. A. et al. Body length of Hylodes cf. ornatus and Lithobates catesbeianus tadpoles, depigmentation of mouthparts, and presence of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis are related. Brazilian journal of biology, v. 73, n. 1, p. 195–199, 2013.
- 107. VOYLES, J. et al. Pathogenesis of chytridiomycosis, a cause of catastrophic amphibian declines. Science, v. 326, n. 5952, p. 582–585, 2009.
- 108. VOYLES, J. et al. Temperature alters reproductive life history patterns in Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, a lethal pathogen associated with the global loss of amphibians. Ecology and evolution, v. 2, n. 9, p. 2241–2249, 2012.
- VOYLES, J.; ROSENBLUM, E. B.; BERGER, L. Interactions between Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis and its amphibian hosts: a review of pathogenesis and immunity. Microbes and infection / Institut Pasteur, v. 13, n. 1, p. 25–32, 2011.
- WAKE, D. B.; VREDENBURG, V. T. Are we in the midst of the sixth mass extinction? a view from the world of amphibians. In AVISE, J. C.; HUBBELL, S. P.; AYALA, F. J. (ed.). The light of evolution: biodiversity and extinction. Washington: National Academy of Sciences, 2008. v. 2. p. 27–44.

- 111. WALKER, S. F. et al. Factors driving pathogenicity vs. prevalence of amphibian panzootic chytridiomycosis in Iberia. Ecology letters, v. 13, n. 3, p. 372–82, 2010.
- 112. WELDON, C. et al. Origin of the amphibian chytrid fungus. Emerging Infectious Diseases, v. 10, n. 12, p2100–2105, 2004.
- 113. WOODHAMS, D. C. et al. Life-history trade-offs influence disease in changing climates: strategies of an amphibian pathogen. Ecology, v. 89, n. 6, p. 1627–1639, 2008.
- 114. ZHOU, S. et al. Impacts of a Small Dam on Riverine Zooplankton. International Review of Hydrobiology, v. 93, n. 3, p. 297–311, 2008.

APPENDIX A

CHAPTER 1 ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. Anuran species infected by *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Atlantic forest (AF), Amazonia (AM) and Cerrado (CE) in the states of Pernambuco (PE), Alagoas (AL), Bahia (BA), Minas Gerais (MG), Espírito Santo (ES), Goiás (G), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), São Paulo (SP), Paraná (PR), Santa Catarina (SC), and Rio Grande do Sul (RS).

Family / Species	State	Biome	Source
Aromobatidae			
Allobates olfersioides	RJ	AF	Carnaval et al. 2006
Bufonidae			
Rhinella crucifer	AL	AF	Present study
Frostius pernambucensis	AL	AF	Present study
Melanoprhyniscus dorsalis	SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Melanoprhyniscus moreirae	RJ. MG	AF	Sluvs et al. 2007. Ferreira et al. 2008. Rodriguez et al. 2014
Brachycephalidae	-, -		- , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Brachvcephalus didactvlus	RJ	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Ischnocnema quentheri	SP	AF	De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Ischnocnema henselii	SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
lschnocnema nasuta	SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
lschnocnema parva	SP	AF	De Paula 2011. Rodríguez et al. 2014
Ischnocnema randorum	SP	AF	De Paula 2011
Centrolenidae	0.		
Vitreorana eurvanatha	R.I. SP. SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Vitreorana sp	MG	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Vitreorana uranoscona	R.L SP SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Ceratonbryidae		7.0	
Ceratophys aurita	ES SP SC	ΔF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Craugastoridae	20, 01, 00	7.0	Rounguez et al. 2014
Haddadus binotatus	SP	ΔF	De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Holoaden luederwaldti	SP		Rodriguez et al. 2014
Pristimantis ramaqii	ΔΙ		Present study
Pristimantis vinhai	RA RA		Present study
Cycloramphidae	DA		r lesent study
Cycloramphus asper	SD		Podriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus boraceiensis			Gründler et al. 2012 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus brasiliensis	PI		Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus eleutherodactylus	SD SD		Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus fuliginosus	PI		Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus izecksobni	SC		Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus obausi			Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus sominalmatus	ср С		Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus stoipogori			Rounguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Cycloramphus valaa	RJ DC		Rounguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
	ко ер		Corpoval et al. 2006. Redriguez et al. 2014
Thoropa millians	or on		Califaval et al. 2006, Rouliguez et al. 2014 Talada et al. 2006a, Cründlar et al. 2012, Dadriguaz et al. 2014
Thoropa taophora	5P		Toledo et al. 2006a, Grundler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Dendrohatidaa	КJ	Аг	Rounguez et al. 2014
			Dresent study
Adelphobates galactonotus	PA	AM	Present study
			Dedriver et al. 2014
FIIIZIANA IIISSIIIS	IVIG, KJ, SP, SC		Rounguez et al. 2014
Fritziana goelali	KJ, SP	AF	Roariguez et al. 2014
Fritziana onausi	25	AF	Grundler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylidae	0.5	. –	
Aparasphenodon brunoi	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014

Aplastadicus arikda SP AF De Paula 2011 Aplastadicus acilingius MG AF Toted ot al. 2006, Gründler et al. 2012 Aplastadicus i leucopygius SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012 Aplastadicus i leucopygius SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Aplastadicus i solicitus MG AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Becker & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendropsophus minutus MG AF Toteldo et al. 2006, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minutus RC AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 De Argenez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minutus SP AF Toteldo et al. 2006, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 De Argenez et al. 2014 De Data 201				
Aplastodicus califypigus MG AF Totedo et al., 2006a, Gründler et al. 2012. Aplastodicus of. leucopygius SP AF Totedo et al., 2013. Gründler et al., 2014. Aplastodicus sibiletus AL AF Cliebo et al., 2013. Gründler et al., 2014. Aplastodicus sibiletus AL AF Lisboa et al., 2013. Gründler et al., 2014. Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Beckerk & Zamudio 2011. Gründler et al., 2014. Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Beckerk & Zamudio 2011. Gründler et al., 2014. Dendropsophus minutus RJ AF De Paula 2011. Gründler et al., 2014. Bokermannohyla seniculus SP AF Diede et al., 2006a. De Paula 2011. Gründler et al., 2012. Bokermannohyla souveal MG AF Cliebo et al., 2006a. Gründler et al., 2014. Bokermannohyla souveal GO CE Ramabine et al., 2016a. Gründler et al., 2014. Bokermannohyla souveal GO CE Ramabine et al., 2016a. Gründler et al., 2014. Bokermannohyla souveal AL	Aplastodiscus arildae	SP	AF	De Paula 2011
Aplastodiscus leucopyois SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012 Aplastodiscus perviridis MG AF Gründler et al. 2008a Aplastodiscus perviridis MG AF Gründler et al. 2013 Dendropsophus sinceps RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minorus AL AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendropsophus minorus RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendropsophus minorus RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla sisterie SP AF Del dala 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla potwai MG AF Toledo et al. 2006a Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla potwai MG AF Canavai et al. 2006a Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla potwai SP AF Toledo et al. 2013 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla septoragrangu GO CE Ramaho et al. 2013 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla septoragrangu GO CE Rama	Aplastodiscus callipygius	MG	AF	Toledo et al., 2006a, Gründler et al. 2012
Aptastodiscus f. leucopygius SP AF Toledo et al. 2002, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Aptastodiscus sibilatus AL AF Lisboa et al. 2013 Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Bocker & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, Grundler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Bockern & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, Grundler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minorps MG AF De Paula 2011 Grundler et al. 2026, De Paula 2011, Grundler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla solucural SP AF Toledo et al. 2006, De Paula 2011, Grundler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla gouveal MG AF Toledo et al. 2016, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla pouveal MG AF Toledo et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla pouveal GO CE Ramaho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla pouveatis SD CG CE Ramaho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla pouveatis SD CG CE Ramaho et al. 2014 Hypsboas sibonarginatus AL AF De Paula 2011, Noriga et al. 2014, Prosent study <	Aplastodiscus leucopygius	SP	AF	De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012
Aplastadicus perviridia MG AF Gründler et al. 2013 Aplastadicus situitaus AL AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus anceps RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendropsophus seniculus RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla siturudata SP AF De drauge zut al. 2014 Bokermannohyla siturudata Bokermannohyla siturudata SP AF Tolded et al. 2006, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla plax SP AF Tolded et al. 2006, Gründler et al. 2012 Bokermannohyla seudopsoutidis GO CE Ramaho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla seudopsoutidis GO CE Ramaho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla seudopsoutidis SP AF Tolded et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsibosa shopunctatus SP AF Tolded et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsibosa shopunctatus SP AF Tolded et al. 2012, R	Aplastodiscus cf. leucopygius	SP	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Aplastodiscus solutions AL AF Usboa et al. 2013 Usboa et al. 2013 Dendropsophus anceps RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Paula 2011, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Becker & Zamudo 2011, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus seniculus RJ AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannchyla seniculus RJ AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2017, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas bichoffii SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas sols feicencece AL AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas solytisenius MG AF	Aplastodiscus perviridis	MG	AF	Gründler et al. 2012. Rodriguez et al. 2014
Dendropsophus anongis RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Becker's Zamudo 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014. Dendropsophus sericulus RJ AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014. Dendropsophus sericulus RJ AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014. Dekermannohyla sistrate SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014. Bokermannohyla gouveai MG AF Carnaval et al. 2006, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014. Bokermannohyla brevdopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013, Gründler et al. 2014. Bokermannohyla brevdopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013, Gründler et al. 2014. Bokermannohyla brevdopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013, Gründler et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas albopuratatus SP AF Toledo et al. 2006, Gründler et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas brevatilis SP AF De Paula 2011, Nedriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas brevatilis SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study <td>Aplastodiscus sibilatus</td> <td>AI</td> <td>AF</td> <td>Lisboa et al. 2013</td>	Aplastodiscus sibilatus	AI	AF	Lisboa et al. 2013
Dendropsophus minutus AL AF Backer & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus sericulus R.J AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dendropsophus sericulus R.J AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Dekermannohyla sistnica SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2014, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla gouveai MG AF Toledo et al. 2008, Gründler et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla bylax SP AF Toledo et al. 2008, Gründler et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla pseudopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla spaudopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2014 Hysiboas shorthi SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hysiboas shorthi SP AF Present	Dendronsonhus ancens	RI	ΔF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Dendrogophus minicads F. F. Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Dendrogophus sericulus R.J. AF Rodriguez et al. 2014, Dendrogophus sericulus R.J. AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla circundata SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla circundata SP AF Toledo et al. 2006, Do Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Bokermannohyla phylax SP AF Toledo et al. 2008, Gründler et al. 2012, Bokermannohyla phylax SP AF Toledo et al. 2008, Gründler et al. 2012, Bokermannohyla phylax SP AF Toledo et al. 2008, Gründler et al. 2012, Bokermannohyla phylax SP AF Toledo et al. 2008, Gründler et al. 2014, Bokermannohyla saphrang GO CE Ramaline et al. 2013, Bokermannohyla saphrang AL AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Physibosa shopurchtus SP AF Toledo et al. 2006, Hypsibosa shopurchtus SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2014, Hypsibosa shopurchtus SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2014, Hypsibosa shopurchtus SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2014, Hypsibosa shopuration SP AF De Pa	Dendropsophus minutus	ΔΙ		Becker & Zamudio 2011, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012
Dendropsophus microps MG AF De Paula 2011. Gründler et al. 2014. Dendropsophus seniculus RJ AF Rodiguez et al. 2014. Bokermannchyla astarta SP AF De Paula 2011. Bokermannchyla starta SP AF De Paula 2011. Bokermannchyla pouveai MG AF Toledo et al. 2006a. Gründler et al. 2012. Bokermannchyla pauka SP AF Toledo et al. 2012. Rodriguez et al. 2014. Bokermannchyla pseudopseudis GO CE Ramaino et al. 2013 Bokermannchyla pseudopseudis Bokermannchyla pseudopseudis GO CE Ramaino et al. 2013 Bokermannchyla pseudopseudis Bokermannchyla pseudopseudis GO CE Ramaino et al. 2013 Bokermannchyla speudopseudis Bokermannchyla pseudopseudis SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a. Gründler et al. 2014. Hypsiboas falopunctetus SP AF Toledo et al. 2013 Bokermannchyla speudopseudis Hypsiboas falopunctetus SP AF De Paula 2011, Nedriguez et al. 2014. Hypsiboas shorthi SP<	Dendropsophus minutus			Rodriguez et al. 2014. Present study
Dendropsophus seniculus R.J. AF Rodiguez et al. 2014 Bokermannchyla astartaa SP AF De Paula 2011 Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannchyla astartaa SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannchyla hylax SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannchyla sapiranga GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Hypsiboas albopunctatus SP AF Toledo et al. 2014 Hypsiboas albopunctatus SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas as senitheatus AL AF Present study Hypsiboas as senitheatus MG AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paribineatus MG AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas pasinuineatus </td <td>Dendronsonhus microns</td> <td>MG</td> <td>AF</td> <td>De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014</td>	Dendronsonhus microns	MG	AF	De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Bokermannohyla astartaa SP AF De Paula 2011 Bokermannohyla astartaa SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla gouveai MG AF Carnaval et al. 2006a, Gründler et al. 2012 Bokermannohyla plavkova SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a, Gründler et al. 2012 Bokermannohyla pseudopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla pseudopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla spianga GO CE Ramalho et al. 2014 Hypsiboas presinis AL AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 </td <td>Dendronsonhus seniculus</td> <td>RI</td> <td>ΔF</td> <td>Rodriguez et al. 2014</td>	Dendronsonhus seniculus	RI	ΔF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Lobistinanion and table Control AF Totelato et al. 2006a. De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla circundia SP AF Totelato et al. 2006a. Gründler et al. 2012 Bokermannohyla buktas SP AF Totelato et al. 2006a. Gründler et al. 2012. Bokermannohyla buktas SP AF Totelato et al. 2006a. Gründler et al. 2012. Bokermannohyla sapirange GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla sapirange GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla sapirange GO CE Ramalho et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla sapirange GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Hypsiboas biachoffi SP AF Totelato et al. 2006a Hypsiboas biachoffi SP AF De Paula 2011, Notriguez et al. 2014. Hypsiboas biachoffi SP AF De Paula 2011, Notriguez et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014. Hypsiboas semilhoaatus MG AF Totelado et al. 2006a Hypsiboas pamilhoaatus MG AF Rodriguez et al. 2014. Hypsiboas pamblineatus MG AF Rodriguez et al. 2014. Hypsiboas pam	Bokermannohyla astartea	SP		De Paula 2011
Determinanticity of classification Construction Construction <thconstruction< th=""> Construction</thconstruction<>	Bokermannohyla circundata	SP		Toledo et al. 2006a. De Paula 2011. Gründler et al. 2012
Bokermannohyla gouveal MG AF Carnaval et al. 2006 Bokermannohyla hutosa SP AF Toledo et al. 2006, Gründler et al. 2012 Bokermannohyla sepiranga GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla sepiranga GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla sepiranga GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Hypsiboas albopunctatus SP AF Do Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas bischoffli SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas facter SP AF De Paula 2011, Neira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas facter SP AF Present study Hypsiboas facter SP AF Carnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paralals SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a Carnaval et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paralals SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a Carnaval et al. 2014, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paralals SP </td <td>Dokemianionyla eneunaula</td> <td>01</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>Rodriguez et al. 2004, De l'adia 2011, Ordinalei et al. 2012,</td>	Dokemianionyla eneunaula	01	7.4	Rodriguez et al. 2004, De l'adia 2011, Ordinalei et al. 2012,
Dokemannolyne byocu Inco Inco <thinco< th=""> Inco Inco<td>Rokermannohyla gouveai</td><td>MG</td><td>ΔF</td><td>Carnaval et al. 2006</td></thinco<>	Rokermannohyla gouveai	MG	ΔF	Carnaval et al. 2006
Bokermannohyla luctos SP AF Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Bokermannohyla seudopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla seudopseudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Hypsiboas albomarginatus AL AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas bischoffi SP AF De De Vaula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2014 Hypsiboas faber SP AF Carnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas pardalis SP AF Toledo et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas pardalis SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paradilis SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paradilis SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paradius SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas par	Bokermannohyla hylax	SP		Toledo et al. 2006a. Gründler et al. 2012
Bokermannohyla pseudosaudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla pseudosaudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla pseudosaudis GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohyla spainarga GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Hypsiboas albomarginatus AL AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas castis AL AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas castis AL AF Present study Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Physiboas semilineatus Hypsiboas semilineatus MG AF Toledo et al. 2006a Hypsiboas pardalis SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas prasinus SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 2014 Hypsiboas prasinus SP, SC, PR AF Toledo et al. 2014 2014 Phylodytes cl. acuminatus AL AF Present study	Bokermannohyla luctosa	SP		Gründler et al. 2012 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Doteminanticity a sparage GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Bokermannohjka sparage GO CE Ramalho et al. 2013 Hypsiboas albouractius SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas bischoffii SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas bischoffii SP AF De Paula 2011, Nodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas faber SP AF Present study Hypsiboas faber SP AF Canaval et al. 2014 Hypsiboas faistiriatus MG AF Canaval et al. 2014 Hypsiboas partalis SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas partalis SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas partalis SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas partalis SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas partalis SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas paratus SP, SC, PR AF Rodriguez et al. 2014	Bokermannohyla neudonseudis	60		Pamalha at al. 2012, Rounguez et al. 2014
Determination/pice support OC CE Nation of all colors Hypsiboas albomarginatus AL AF Do Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Present study Hypsiboas bischoffi SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a Hypsiboas crepitans PE AF Present study Hypsiboas crepitans PE AF Present study Hypsiboas crepitans PE AF Present study Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Configuez et al. 2013 Hypsiboas partalitis MG AF Carnaval et al. 2006a Hypsiboas partalitis SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas parisinus SP AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas parisinus SP, SC, PR AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas praisinus SP, SC, PR AF Toledo et al. 2014 Hypsiboas praisinus SP, SC, SP AF Toledo et al. 2014 <	Bokermannahyla papiranga	GO CO		Ramalha et al. 2013
Physibas albohnicitusALAFDe Faula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014, Fresent studyHysibas albohnicitusSPAFDe Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hysibas creptansPEAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hysibas faberSPAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hysibas faberSPAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hysibas freicanecaeALAFCarnaval et al. 2016, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsibas serbilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2014Hysibas pardelisSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas publeellusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas publeellusCarnamiatusALAFPhylodytes cl. acliminatusALAFPresent studyPhylodytes cl. aclenniatusALAFPresent studyPhylodytes cl. aclenniatusALAFPresent studyPhylodytes cl. aclenniatusALAFPresent studyPhylodytes cl. aclenniatusALAFPresent studyPhylodyt	Bukermannunyia sapiranga	GO		Raillaillo et al. 2013 De Deule 2011, Rodríguez et el 2014, Bresent study
Physioas bischoffi SP AF Toledo et al. 2006a Hypsiboas schoffi SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas crepitans PE AF Present study Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2013 Hypsiboas faber SP AF De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2014 Hypsiboas pardatis MG AF Toledo et al. 2006a Hypsiboas pardatis SP AF De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hypsiboas polytopilus RS AF Toledo et al. 2006a Phylodytes cf. acelmoi AL AF Present study Phylopdytes uberculosus<	Hypsiboas alboniarginatus			De Faula 2011, Rouliguez et al. 2014, Flesent study
Prypsiloads bischofiniSPAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2014Hypsiboas crepitansPEAFPresent studyHypsiboas faberSPAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas freicanecaeALAFCarnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsiboas freicanecaeALAFCarnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2012Hypsiboas semilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2006aHypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas parasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas ct. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes ct. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes ct. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes grimaethesALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyliodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyliodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFPresent studyPhyliodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyliodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFDe Paula 2011Scinax albicansRJAFD	Hypsiboas albopuncialus	5P 0D		Toledo et al. 2006a
Hypsibas CreptiansPEAFPresent StudyHypsibas sexastisALAFPresent StudyHypsibas faberSPAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas fatistriatusMGAFCarnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsibas semilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2006aHypsibas polytaeniusSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas polytaeniusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsibas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFToledo et al. 2006aPhylodytes ct. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhylodytes ct. acuminatusALAFPresent	Hypsiboas bischoffil	SP	AF	De Paula 2011, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hypsiboas faiserALAFPresent studyHypsiboas faiserSPAFDe Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas faistriatusMGAFCarnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsiboas semilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2006aHypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas faistriatusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas freizencesSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas freizencesRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas faistriatusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas faistriatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes c1. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyCorinax ariadreSPAFRodrigu	Hypsiboas crepitans	PE	AF	Present study
Hypsiboas laberSPAFDe Paula 2011, Veira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Kodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas latistriatusMGAFGründler et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsiboas semilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2006Hypsiboas pardalisSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas pulchellusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas cf. anarginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllodytes distinctaSP, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tistriatusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhylloredusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Christicas anardeSPAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax abicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax fusicasRJ	Hypsobas exastis	AL	AF	Present study
Hypsiboas freicanecaeALAFCamaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsiboas latistriatusMGAFGründler et al. 2012Hypsiboas pardalisSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas pulchellusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas frainatusSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhrynomedusa cf. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes dimethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes duberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes duberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burneisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariterSPAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax ariterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariterSPAF<	Hypsiboas faber	SP	AF	De Paula 2011, Vieira et al. 2012, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez
Physioloas treicanecaeALAFCarnavale et al. 2005, Lisboa et al. 2013Hypsioloas semilineatusMGAFGründler et al. 2012Hypsioloas semilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2006aHypsioloas pardalisSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyliodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes disticatSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa disticatSP, PR, SC, RSAFDe Paula 2011Scinax aiterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax aiterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax aiterSPAFDe Paula 2014S		A 1	. –	et al. 2014
Hypsiboas semilineatusMGAFGrundler et al. 2012Hypsiboas semilineatusMGAFToledo et al. 2006aHypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFToledo et al. 2006aPhylinodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes suberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes suberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa tistinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alterSPAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFToledo et al. 2014Scinax riadheSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Hypsiboas freicanecae	AL	AF	Carnaval et al. 2006, Lisboa et al. 2013
Hypsiboas semilineatusMGAFDelode et al. 2006aHypsiboas pardalisSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Itapotihyla langsdorfiiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyliodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyliodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyliodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyliodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyliodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyliodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyliodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyliomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyliomedusa tetraploideaSCAFPresent studyScinax albicansRJAFDe Paula 2011Scinax albicansRJAFDe Paula 2011Scinax fueroSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fueroMGAFPresent studyScinax fueroSP<	Hypsiboas latistriatus	MG	AF	Grundler et al. 2012
Hypsiboas pardalisSPAFDe Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas pulchellusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFRodriguez et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Itapotihyla langsdorfiiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phynomedusa cf. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gvirnaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllodytes duberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes a nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa taraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFPresent studyScinax albicansRJAFPresent studyScinax flocansRJAFPresent studyScinax flocansRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax flocansRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax flocansRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alterSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax flocansRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax flocansRSAFRodriguez et	Hypsiboas semilineatus	MG	AF	l oledo et al. 2006a
Hypsiboas polytaeniusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas pulchellusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Physiboas prasinusSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phynomedusa cf. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes discoverBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes discoverBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes discoverBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes discoverBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes discoverBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa a nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa a letraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alicansRJAFPresent studyScinax alicansRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fieldSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fieldSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fieldSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fieldSS<	Hypsiboas pardalis	SP	AF	De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hypsiboas pulchellusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Hapotihyla langsdorfiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phynomedusa cf. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes delmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes a strainctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa nordestinaALAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alterSPAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDelaula 2011Scinax ariadheSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax frauduceMGAFPresent studyScinax frauduceMGAFPresent studyScinax frauduceMGAFPresent studyScinax frauduceRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoi <t< td=""><td>Hypsiboas polytaenius</td><td>SP</td><td>AF</td><td>Rodriguez et al. 2014</td></t<>	Hypsiboas polytaenius	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hypsiboas prasinusSP, SC, PRAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Itapotihyla langsdorfiiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phrynomedusa cf. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. acleimoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa birneisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa ondestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alibicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax aniadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hyliSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax prepusitusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax prepusitusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFPresent study<	Hypsiboas pulchellus	RS	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Itapotihyla langsdorfiiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phrynomedusa ct. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes ct. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes ct. edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Pula 2011Scinax atterSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax f. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax f. scovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax arganulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax arbadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax nachadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax pachycrusPEAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax pachycrusPEAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax pachycrusPEAF </td <td>Hypsiboas prasinus</td> <td>SP, SC, PR</td> <td>AF</td> <td>Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014</td>	Hypsiboas prasinus	SP, SC, PR	AF	Gründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Phrynomedusa cf. marginataSPAFToledo et al. 2006aPhyllodytes cf. aclelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. aclelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa a fistinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alterSPSPAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax fi uscovariusRSAFPresent studyScinax fi uscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax praulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax provincisRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax provincisALAFPresent studyScinax pelulosusALAFPresent study <t< td=""><td>Itapotihyla langsdorfiii</td><td>SP</td><td>AF</td><td>Rodriguez et al. 2014</td></t<>	Itapotihyla langsdorfiii	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Phyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes cf. acuminatusALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fi scovariusRSAFPresent studyScinax fi scovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fi scovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al.	Phrynomedusa cf. marginata	SP	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Phyllodytes cf. edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuerovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuerovari	Phyllodytes cf. acuminatus	AL	AF	Present study
Phyllodytes edelmoiALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa nordestinaALAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax alterSPAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax f. eurydiceMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax f. eurydiceMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 20	Phyllodytes cf. edelmoi	AL	AF	Present study
Phyllodytes gyrinaethesALAFPresent studyPhyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa istinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax pranulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax nachadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax perpusillusRJAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Phyllodytes edelmoi	AL	AF	Present study
Phyllodytes tuberculosusBAAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax nebulosusALAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Sci	Phyllodytes gyrinaethes	AL	AF	Present study
Phyllomedusa burmeisteriMG, ESAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax nebulosusALAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax nebulosusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax ra	Phyllodytes tuberculosus	BA	AF	Present study
Phyllomedusa distinctaSP, PR, SC, RSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax ci. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax parpusillusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax parpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiAF <td>Phyllomedusa burmeisteri</td> <td>MG, ES</td> <td>AF</td> <td>Rodriguez et al. 2014</td>	Phyllomedusa burmeisteri	MG, ES	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Phyllomedusa nordestinaALAFPresent studyPhyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Phyllomedusa distincta	SP, PR, SC, RS	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Phyllomedusa tetraploideaSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax pachycrusALAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax pachycrusPEAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 20	Phyllomedusa nordestina	AL	AF	Present study
Scinax albicansRJAFToledo et al. 2006aScinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nachadoiMGAFPresent studyScinax nachadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeHylodidaeAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Phyllomedusa tetraploidea	SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax alterSPAFDe Paula 2011Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014HvlodidaeAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax albicans	RJ	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Scinax ariadneSPAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeHylodidaeHylodidaeAF	Scinax alter	SP	AF	De Paula 2011
Scinax cf. eurydiceMGAFPresent studyScinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax ariadne	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax fuscovariusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014HvlodidaeAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax cf. eurydice	MG	AF	Present study
Scinax granulatusRSAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax fuscovarius	RS	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax hayiiSPAFGründler et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax granulatus	RS	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax machadoiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax hayii	SP	AF	Gründler et al. 2012. Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax nebulosusALAFPresent studyScinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax machadoi	MG	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax pachycrusPEAFPresent studyScinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax nebulosus	AL	AF	Present study
Scinax perpusillusRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax pachvcrus	PE	AF	Present study
Scinax rankiMGAFRodriguez et al. 2014Scinax trapicheiroiRJAFRodriguez et al. 2014Trachycephalus mesophaeusSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014HylodidaeSCAFRodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax perpusillus	RJ	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Scinax trapicheiroi RJ AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Trachycephalus mesophaeus SC AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hylodidae AF Rodriguez et al. 2014	Scinax ranki	MG	ΔF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Trachycephalus mesophaeus SC AF Rodriguez et al. 2014 Hylodidae	Scinax tranicheiroi	RI		Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hvlodidae	Trachycenhalus mesonhaeus	SC		Rodriguez et al. 2014
	Hvlodidae		7.11	Roungaoz or an zor r

Crossodactylus bokermanni Crossodactylus caramaschii Crossodactylus gaudichaudii Crossodactylus sp. Hylodes amnicola Hylodes asper Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	MG, SP SP RJ, SP RJ MG SP PR SP	AF AF AF AF AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014 Carnaval et al. 2006, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 De Boula 2014
Crossodactylus caramaschii Crossodactylus gaudichaudii Crossodactylus sp. Hylodes amnicola Hylodes asper Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	SP RJ, SP RJ MG SP PR SP	AF AF AF AF	Carnaval et al. 2006, Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014
Crossodactylus gaudichaudii Crossodactylus sp. Hylodes amnicola Hylodes asper Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	RJ, SP RJ MG SP PR SP	AF AF AF AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 De Boule 2011, Criendler et al. 2012, Bedriguez et al. 2014
Crossodactylus sp. Hylodes amnicola Hylodes asper Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	RJ MG SP PR SP	AF AF AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014 Rodriguez et al. 2014 De Devile 2011, Crimediar et al. 2012, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes amnicola Hylodes asper Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	MG SP PR SP	AF AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014 De Deule 2011, Cründler et al. 2012, Bedriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes asper Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	SP PR SP	AF	Do Doulo 2011 Cründler et al. 2012 Dedrigues et al. 2014
Hylodes cardosi Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri	PR SP		De Paula 2011, Grundler et al. 2012, Rooriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes dactylocinus Hylodes glaber Hylodes heveri	SP		Vieira et al. 2012
Hylodes glaber Hylodes beveri		AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Hylodas havari	RJ	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes lateristrigatus	RJ, SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes magalhaesi	MG	AF	Carnaval et al. 2005, Toledo et al. 2006b, Gründler et al. 2012
Hylodes meridionalis	RS	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes nasus	RJ	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes ornatus	RJ	AF	Vieira et al. 2013, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes perere	MG	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes perplicatus	SC	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a, Rodriguez et al. 2014
Hylodes phyllodes	SP	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a, De Paula 2011, Gründler et al. 2012
Hylodes sp. (aff. sazimai)	SP	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Hylodes sp.	SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Megaelosia cf. boticariana	SP	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Megaelosia massarti	SP	AF	Toledo et al. 2006a
Megaelosia sp.	RJ, SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Leptodactylidae			5
Adenomera marmorata	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Leptodactylus labyrinthicus	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Leptodactylus marmoratus	SP	AF	Gründler et al. 2012
Leptodactylus cf. mystaceus	AL	AF	Present study
Leptodactylus notoaktites	SP, PR	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Leptodactylus podicipinus	RS	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Paratelmatobius lutzii	RJ	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Physalaemus cf. cuvieri	AL	AF	Present study
Physalaemus olfersii	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Physalaemus signifer	RJ	AF	Brito-Gitirana et al. 2009
Microhylidae			
Arcovomer passarellii	RJ, SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Stereocyclops incrassatus	SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Odontophrynidae			-
Macrogenioglottus alipioi	SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Proceratophrys boiei	MG, RJ, SP, PR, SC	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Proceratophrys melanopogon	RJ, SP	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014
Proceratophrys renalis	AL	AF	Present study
Ranidae			-
Lithobates catesbeianus	RS	AF	Rodriguez et al. 2014

Family	Genera	Species	Detection method	Species infected / sampled species	Atlantic forest region
Aromobatidae	1	1	Н	1/1	Southeast
Brachycephalidae	2	6	P, qP	6/50	Southeast, south
Bufonidae	3	4	qP	4/39	Northeast, southeast, south
Centrolenidae	1	3	qP	3/3	Southeast, south
Ceratophryidae	1	1	qP	1/3	Southeast, south
Craugastoridae	3	4	P, qP	4/14	Northeast, southeast
Cycloramphidae	2	13	H, P, qP	13/36	Southeast, south
Hemiphractidae	1	3	qP	3/13	Southeast
Hylidae	11	55	H, P, qP	55/209	Northeast, southeast, South
Hylodidae	3	23	H, P, qP, C, I	23/40	Southeast, south
Leptodactylidae	4	10	H, qP	10/64	Northeast, southeast, south
Microhylidae	2	2	qP	2/21	Southeast, south
Odontophrynidae	2	4	qP	4/21	Northeast, southeast, south
Ranidae	1	1	qP	1/2	South

Table S2. Detection method for anuran species infected with *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in different regions of the Atlantic forest. H: Histology, P: PCR, qP: qPCR, C: Cytology, I: Isolation in culture. Based on data present in the Table S1.

APPENDIX B

CHAPTER 2 ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. Voucher information and *Bd* accession numbers for specimens of *Phyllodytes edelmoi* used in *Bd* analysis collected in the Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC Murici), Alagoas, Brazil.

Bd Voucher	Museum Voucher	Bd Voucher	Museum Voucher
SLFT 2988	11794	SLFT 1897	11484
SLFT 2996	11800	SLFT 1898	na
SLFT 2997	11801	SLFT 1904	11489
SLFT 2998	11804	SLFT 1906	na
SLFT 2999	11805	SLFT 1907	na
SLFT 3000	11806	MLFT 0036	11513
SLFT 3001	11807	MLFT 0037	11520
SLFT 3002	11808	MLFT 0038	11519
SLFT 3003	11811	MLFT 0039	11518
SLFT 3043	11571	MLFT 0040	11517
SLFT 3044	11570	MLFT 0041	11516
SLFT 1891	11478	MLFT 0042	11515
SLFT 1892	11479	MLFT 0043	11514
SLFT 1893	11480	MLFT 0044	11521
SLFT 1894	na	MLFT 0045	11522
SLFT 1895	11482	MLFT 0046	11523
SLFT 1896	11483	MLFT 0047	11524

Table S2. Measurements of microclimatic conditions and phytotelm characteristics in bromeliads with and without *Phyllodytes edelmoi* for dry and wet season. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad length of biggest leaf = Length, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, Bromeliad maximum column size to store water = Column, bromeliad relative volume = Volume, pH = pH, microhabitat temperature = Tm, ambient air temperature = Ta, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, proportion of obscured sky by vegetation in a single point = Canopy closure (%). All data given as mean ± standard deviation (s.d); (+) = Presence, (-) = Absence; (*) Significance level for (W) Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons or (t) Welch t-test (p<0.05); (·) Significance level (p<0.1).

Season	Dry				Rainy			
Variable	+ Mean (s.d.)	- Mean (s.d.)	Test	Р	+ Mean(s.d.)	- Mean (s.d.)	Test	Р
Diameter (cm)	15.24 (±4.26)	18.3 (±3.05)	t = -1.6	0.12	17.3(±3.15)	17.7(±4.24)	t = -0.26	0.79
Length (cm)	64.5 (±24.7)	77.3(±14.9)	t = -1.3	0.23	78.1 (±14.64)	67.6 (±17.2)	t = -1.3	0.23
Leaves (number)	15 (±2.38)	14.1 (±2.6)	t = 0.8	0.43	16.1 (±1.87)	14.8 (±3.05)	W = 76.5	0.16
Column depth (cm)	27.6 (±6.9)	26.8 (± 5.2)	t = -0.04	0.96	30.4 (±3.76)	31.3 (±4.63)	t = 0.28	0.79
Volume (L)	0.17 (±0.13)	0.14 (±0.07)	W = 73	1.0	0.21(±0.09)	0.17(±0.09)	t = 1.19	0.24
рН	5 (±0.67)	5.25 (±0.46)	t = -0.93	0.38	4.3 (±0.72)	4.25 (±0.52)	W = 106	0.88
Tm (°C)	27.5 (±3.25)	27.8 (±3.01)	t = -0.20	0.84	26.4 (±4.57)	24.1 (±3.06)	t = 0.27	0.79
Ta (°C)	30.53 (±2.38)	31.57 (±2.94)	W = 59	0.45	29.3 (±4.93)	28.2 (±5.52)	t = -0.95	0.36
HR (%)	66.29 (±6.47)	64.24 (±8.30)	t = 0.67	0.51	68.8 (±14.58)	66.2(±15.39)	t = 0.67	0.51
Canopy closure (%)	29.02 (±22.2)	20.6 (±20.44)	W = 55	0.33	5.9 (±13.03)	9.81(±12.49)	W = 55	0.02*

Table S3. Mornan's I test for autocorrelation of variables in dry season in *Portea leptantha* bromeliads. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad length of biggest leaf = Length, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, Bromeliad maximum column size to store water = Column depth, bromeliad relative volume = Volume, pH = pH, microhabitat temperature = Tm, ambient air temperature = Ta, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, proportion of obscured sky by vegetation in a single point = Canopy Clousure (%), bromeligenous spatial presence = Anuro. All data given as (±) standard deviation (s.d). Lag= 15 meters; (*)Significance level (p<0.05).

Variables	estimate	expectation	variance	s.d.	P(I) Two sided
Bromeliad diameter (cm)	0.153704	-0.038462	0.033181	1.055	0.5829
Length (cm)	-0.019088	-0.038462	0.031879	0.1085	1
Leaves (#)	-0.019088	-0.038462	0.031879	0.1085	1
Column depth (cm)	0.0578994	-0.037037	0.0099769	0.9505	0.6932
Volume (L)	-0.199274	-0.038462	0.031056	-0.9125	0.723
рН	-0.081607	-0.037037	0.010074	-0.4441	0.8119
Tm (°C)	0.0499968	-0.037037	0.0094491	0.8953	0.7796
Ta (°C)	0.089596	-0.038462	0.033137	0.7035	0.9635
HR (%)	0.107023	-0.038462	0.033158	0.799	0.8486
Canopy Clousure (%)	0.380335	-0.038462	0.032341	2.3288	0.03974 *
Anuro	0.024226	-0.038462	0.033937	0.3403	0.8628

Table S4. Mornan's I test for autocorrelation of variables in Rainy season in *Portea leptatha* bromeliads. Phytotelm diameter = Bromeliad diameter, bromeliad length of biggest leaf = Length, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, Bromeliad maximum column size to store water = Column depth, bromeliad relative volume = Volume, pH = pH, microhabitat temperature = Tm, ambient air temperature = Ta, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, proportion of obscured sky by vegetation in a single point = Canopy closure (%), bromeligenous spatial presence = Anuran. All data given as (±) standard deviation (s.d). Lag= 15 meters; (*)Significance level (p<0.05).

Variables	estimate	expectation	variance	s.d.	P(I) Two sided
Bromeliad diameter (cm)	0.143222	-0.038462	0.010731	1.7539	0.397
Length (cm)	0.069037	-0.038462	0.011232	1.0143	1
Leaves (number)	0.102816	-0.038462	0.011209	1.3344	0.364
Column depth (cm)	-0.0047863	-0.0384615	0.0106793	0.3259	1
Volume (L)	0.0087133	-0.0384615	0.010708	0.4559	0.648
рН	-0.194304	-0.038462	0.01026	-1.5385	0.4957
Tm (°C)	0.150922	-0.038462	0.010875	1.816	0.072.
Ta (°C)	0.117213	-0.038462	0.011158	1.4738	0.281
HR (%)	0.2087076	-0.0384615	0.011257	2.3296	0.039*
Canopy closure (%)	0.049326	-0.038462	0.010541	0.855	0.785
Anuran	0.1588671	-0.0384615	0.0115504	1.8361	0.2654

Table S5. Spearman correlations of microclimatic conditions and bromeliad characteristics in rainy season. Variables with normal data were included. Bromeliad maximum column size to store water = Column, phytotelm diameter = Diameter, bromeliad water level = H20, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, bromeliad length of biggest leaf = Length, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, microhabitat temperature = Tm, ambient air temperature = Ta, bromeliad relative volume = Volume. (*) p values with significance level of (p<0.05).

	Solumi	Diameter	H2O	HK %	Leaves	Length	Та	Tm	Volume
Column 1	1								
Diameter ().5374	1							
H2O ().4707	0.469	1						
HR % -	0.2115	-0.0821	-0.1	1					
Leaves (0.2037	0.6836	0.3	-0.113	1				
Length ().5438	0.298	0.46	-0.181	0.258	1			
Ta -	0.1413	-0.0853	0.06	-0.8*	0.019	-0.028	1		
Tm -	0.1469	-0.0633	-0.1	-0.537	0.025	0.026	0.81*	1	
Volume -	0.1792	0.1675	0.24	0.159	0.273	0.126	0.14	0.225	1

Table S6. Spearman correlations of microclimatic conditions, and bromeliad characteristics in dry season. Variables with normal data were included. Bromeliad maximum column size to store water = Column, phytotelm diameter = Diameter, bromeliad water level = H20, ambient Relative humidity = HR %, bromeliad leaves number = Leaves, bromeliad length of biggest leaf = Length, pH = pH. (*) P values with significance level of (p < 0.05).

	Column	Diameter	H2O	HR %	Leaves	Length	рН
Column	1.00						
Diameter	0.44	1.00					
H2O	0.72*	0.27	1.00				
HR %	-0.40	-0.06	-0.22	1.00			
Leaves	0.61	0.22	0.36	-0.17	1.00		
Length	0.46	0.46	0.28	-0.16	0.26	1.00	
рН	0.07	0.09	-0.13	0.01	0.05	0.25	1.00
Figure S1. Map of sampled localities. Brazil, Alagoas, Murici, ESEC Murici, *Mata da Bananeira*. Inset map is South America with Atlantic forest in green. Square highlights the north portion of Atlantic forest. Satelital image with yellow contourn represents Estação Ecológica de Murici (ESEC Murici) whereas A represents Mata da Bananeira forest fragment.



Figure S2. Site and species sampled in the (A) rocky outcrops in the ESEC Murici, Alagoas State, Brazil; (B) bromeliad *Portea leptantha*; (C) adult and (D) tadpoles of *Phyllodytes edelmoi*.





Figure S3. Linkage maps of bromeliad samples distances (m) for autocorrelation analysis. (A) Rainy season, and (B) dry season.